

CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS OF $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}}$ WITH COEFFICIENTS

HUI JUNE ZHU

ABSTRACT. This paper studies crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}}$ with coefficients of any dimension, where $\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}$ is the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree a . We prove a theorem of Fontaine-Laffaille type when σ -invariant Hodge-Tate weight $< p - 1$, which establishes the bijection between Galois stable lattices in crystalline representations and strongly divisible φ -lattice. In generalizing Breuil's work, we classify all reducible and irreducible crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}}$ of dimensional 2, then describe their mod p reductions. We generalize some results (of Deligne, Fontaine-Serre, and Edixhoven) to representations arising from Hilbert modular forms when σ -invariant Hodge-Tate weight $< p - 1$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Weakly admissible filtered φ -modules	5
2.1. Preliminary algebra	5
2.2. Construction of crystalline representations	8
2.3. Irreducibility in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$	10
3. Embedded strongly divisible φ -lattices	11
3.1. Embedded Newton and Hodge polygons	11
3.2. Newton and Hodge polygons and their σ -invariant variation	16
3.3. Irreducibility	17
4. Constructing embedded Wach modules	17
4.1. (φ, γ) -equations and basic arithmetic	18
4.2. Embedded Wach liftings from $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$	20
4.3. Continuity of embedded Wach modules	23
5. Mod p reduction of crystalline representation in dimensional 2 cases	26
5.1. Classification of crystalline mod p reductions	26
5.2. Some analytic families in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\bar{k}}(G_K)$	30
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a \geq 1$ and let $K := \mathbb{Q}_{p^a}$ be the unique unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree a . Let $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ be the Galois group of the algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K over K .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11, 14.

Key words and phrases. Crystalline representations, Fontaine-Laffaille theory, Hilbert modular forms.

This paper studies integral theory concerning crystalline E -linear representations of the Galois group G_K over some coefficient field E . For ease of notation we assume throughout this paper that E contains $\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}$.

Let $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*$ be the equivalent functor from the category $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ of crystalline $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear representation of G_K to the category $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K)$ of weakly admissible filtered φ -modules over K , defined by $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V^*)^{G_K}$ where $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, K)$ is the dual of V (see [Fo88a][Fo88b][CF00]). Let $\mathbb{C}_p$ be the completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Any crystalline representation V is Hodge-Tate and hence has Hodge-Tate weight, which we shall use Hodge polygon to describe in this paper: For any d -dimensional crystalline representation V , an ordered d -tuple $\text{HP}(V) := (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ with integers $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_d$ is Hodge polygon of V if $\dim(\mathbb{C}_p(-k_i) \otimes V)^{G_K} \neq 0$ and each k_i has multiplicity equal to $m_i := \dim(\mathbb{C}_p(-k_i) \otimes V)$ in $\text{HP}(V)$. Write $D = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$, an equivalent definition of $\text{HP}(V)$ for V in terms of its corresponding filtered φ -modules D is that: $\text{Fil}^{k_i}(D) \supsetneq \text{Fil}^{k_i+1}(D)$ for every slope k_i in $\text{HP}(V)$ and $m_i = \dim_K(\text{Fil}^{k_i}(D)/\text{Fil}^{k_i+1}(D))$. For this reason we shall use $\text{HP}(V)$ and $\text{HP}(D)$ interchangeably for any crystalline representations V . We assume V is effective, that is, $k_i \geq 0$ for all i . We define $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}(V)) := k_1 - k_d$. When $k_d = 0$, which is the case for almost all cases we consider, $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}(V)) = k_1$ the maximal slope of the Hodge polygon.

Let T be any Galois stable lattice (over the p -adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$) in V . Laffaille has shown that V is crystalline if and only if $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$ contains a strongly divisible lattice ([Laf80]). If $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}(V)) < p - 1$, then Fontaine-Laffaille theorem says that if $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ then $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(T)$ is a strongly divisible lattice in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$ for every Galois stable lattice T in V . Namely, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*$ gives a bijection from a Galois stable lattice T in $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ to a strongly divisible lattice L in $D = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$. Fontaine-Laffaille theorem ([FL82]) has played a prominent role in computing mod p reduction of the crystalline representation.

First of all, we give a structural construction of crystalline representations of G_K of any dimension, and if one wishes, with any prescribed Hodge polygon. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ be in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ with $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_d \geq 0$ be a Hodge polygon, and let $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ be the subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ where each representation has $\text{HP}(V) = \mathbf{k}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K , and let the σ -linear *parabolic equivalence* $\sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}}$ be an equivalence relation on the set $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ which we shall define in Section 2.2.

Theorem A. *There is a bijection*

$$\Theta : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$$

which sends every $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ upon σ -linear parabolic equivalence with respect to $\mathbf{k}$ to a crystalline representation V whose $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$ contains a strongly divisible lattice L such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = A \cdot \text{Diag}(p^{k_1}, \dots, p^{k_d})$, with respect to a basis adapted to its filtration.

Breuil and Mézard generalized Fontaine's $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*$ functor to those representations with coefficient field E that is no longer necessarily equal to $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (see [BM02, Section 4]), namely, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^* : \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K) \longrightarrow \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. In this paper we extend Breuil-Mézard's result one step further to strongly divisible lattices, and obtain Fontaine-Laffaille type theorem in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$. To do so, we shall define *embedded Hodge polygon* $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V) = \mathbf{k} := (k_{j,1}, \dots, k_{j,a-1})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ in Section 3.1. For

any embedded Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k}$, let $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ be the subcategory consisting of all V with $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V) = \mathbf{k}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_E$ be the ring of integers in E . Let $\sim_{\mathbf{k}}$ be an equivalence relation on $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ which we shall define in Section 3.1.

Theorem A'. *Then there is a bijection*

$$\Theta : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K).$$

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be Fontaine's equivalence functor from the category $\text{Rep}_E(G_K)$ of E -linear representations of G_K to the category of étale (φ, Γ) -modules. This functor respects Galois stable lattices in V of $\text{Rep}_E(G_K)$ and respects torsion (see [Fo91]). For every crystalline representation V in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K) \subset \text{Rep}_E(G_K)$, there is an integral Wach module in the étale (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{D}(V)$ (see [Wa96] and [BE04]). In fact, there is fully faithful functor $\mathbf{N}$ from $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ to the category of Wach modules that is bijection from Galois stable lattice to integral Wach modules (see [BE04]) for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$. In this paper, we study representations $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$, define σ -invariant Hodge polygon of V by $\text{HP}^{\sigma}(V) := (\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_{j,1}, \dots, \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_{j,d})$. Let $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^{\sigma}(V)) := \frac{1}{a} (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} (k_{j,1} - k_{j,d}))$. We have the following Fontaine-Laffaille type theorem:

Theorem B. *Let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ with $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^{\sigma}(V)) < p - 1$. Let T be a Galois stable lattice in V , then $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(T)$ is a strongly divisible lattice in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$. Conversely, every strongly divisible lattice L in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$ is of the form $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(T)$ for a Galois stable lattice T in V .*

It is desirable to explicitly construct integral Wach modules, especially when $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^{\sigma}(V)) \geq p - 1$, hence compliments Fontaine-Laffaille type theorem of strongly divisible lattices in filtered φ -modules in $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_E$ be the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_E$. For any $V \in \text{Rep}_E(G_K)$ its *mod p reduction* means $T/\mathfrak{m}_E T$ for any Galois stable lattice T in V . Its semisimplification does not depend on the choice of T and we denote it by $\overline{V}$ in this paper. Its p -power reductions are similarly defined. To use integral Wach modules to calculate mod p -power reduction of a crystalline representation, it is essential that we show $\mathbf{N}$ is a p -adic continuous map in a sense that if two d -dimensional crystalline representations V_1 and V_2 in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ are close in a p -adic metric (which we shall define in Remark 2.7 for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ and Remark 3.6 for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$), then their Wach modules are p -adically close. Let $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ be the floor and ceiling of a real number.

Theorem C. *Write $\overline{k}_1 = \text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^{\sigma}(V))$. Given $V, V' \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ such that N is an integral Wach module of V . Suppose $\text{dist}(V, V') \leq p^{-(i + \lfloor \overline{k}_1 \rfloor p / (p-1)^2)}$, then there exists an integral Wach module N' of V' such that $N \equiv N' \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_E^i}$.*

Finally, we are concerned with 2-dimensional crystalline representations of G_K exclusively. Recall that a 2-dimensional representation V of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is irreducible if and only if the slope of its Newton polygon has slope > 0 . Breuil has completely classified them in [Br02]. Theorem D below generalizes this to G_K .

Theorem D. *Let V be any 2-dimensional crystalline representation with embedded Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k} = (k_j, 0)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$. Then V is (absolutely) irreducible if and only if the Newton polygon of V has no slope equal to $\sum_{j \in J} k_j$ for any subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ (if J is empty set then the sum is 0).*

Let ω_a be a fundamental character of the inertial group I_K of G_K , and let ω_{2a} be a fundamental character of $I_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^{2a}}}$ such that $\omega_{2a}^{p^a+1} = \omega_a$. For any $1 \leq h \leq p^a - 1$ let $\text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^h)$ be the (unique) irreducible representation $\bar{\rho}$ of G_K with $\det(\bar{\rho}) = \omega_a^h$ and $\bar{\rho}|_{I_K} \cong \omega_{2a}^h \oplus \omega_{2a}^{p^a h}$. We compute mod p reduction of crystalline representations in Theorem E below. In this theorem, we adapt the notation in line with Buzzard-Diamond-Jarvis [BDJ08] and Gee [Ge08b] by using the *Hodge-Tate weight* $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$ instead of our embedded Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k} = (k_j, 0)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$. We hope no confusion arises.

Theorem E. *Let V be a 2-dimensional E -linear crystalline representation of G_K with Hodge-Tate weight $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$ such that $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$ for all j . Let $\rho = \bar{V}$ be the semisimplification of mod p reduction of V .*

(i) *Suppose ρ is reducible, then*

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K .

(ii) *Suppose ρ is irreducible and $\vec{k} \neq \vec{0}$. Then*

$$\rho \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j}) \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$; or equivalently,

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K .

We remark that Breuil has classified in [Br07] all reduction and irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -linear representations of G_K in the above two forms in Theorem E.

Theorem E has applications to Hilbert modular forms. Let F be any totally real field extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ where p is unramified, and we assume the localization of F at the prime over p is equal to K . Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a nonzero ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$ of F . Fix once and for all embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let f be any Hilbert cuspidal eigenform of weight $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$ for $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$, and of level $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $\rho_f : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be the Galois representation associated to f constructed by Rogawski-Tunnell [RT83], Ohta [Oh84] and Carayol [Car86], completed by Taylor [Tay89] and Jarvis [Jar97]. Let $\bar{\rho}_f : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be the semisimplification of the reduction mod p of ρ_f . We write $\bar{\rho}_{f,p} := \bar{\rho}_f|_{G_K}$. Suppose p is coprime to $\mathfrak{n}$ then $\rho_f|_{G_K}$ is crystalline by [Br99] and [Liu07] (see also [BE04]). Then the following corollary follows immediately from Theorem E, and it generalizes previous results of Deligne, Fontaine-Serre, and Edixhoven on representations arising from modular forms (see [Ed98] for references) to Hilbert modular forms.

Corollary F. *Let $p > 2$. Let f be a Hilbert cuspidal eigenform of weight $\vec{k}$ with $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$, and of level $\mathfrak{n}$ coprime to p .*

(i) *Suppose $\bar{\rho}_{f,p}$ is reducible, then*

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,p}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

- for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K .
(ii) Suppose $\bar{\rho}_{f,p}$ is irreducible, we have for $\vec{k} \neq \vec{0}$

$$\bar{\rho}_{f,p}|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K . If $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$ then we have $\bar{\rho}_{f,p} \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{p^a-1}) \otimes \bar{\eta}$ for some character $\bar{\eta}$.

This paper is organized as follows. Fundamental notions and preparations are recalled or defined in Section 2, we prove Theorem A after Proposition 2.6. In Section 3 we prove Theorem A' for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ in Theorem 3.5. This section prepares some foundations on strongly divisible φ -lattices. In Section 4 we study embedded integral Wach modules in Fontaine's étale (φ, Γ) -modules, and prove one of our main Theorem B in Theorem 4.15. We prove p -adically continuity of Wach modules in Theorem C as part of Corollary 4.13. Finally in Section 5 we mainly focus on 2-dimensional case: by proving irreducibility in Theorem D that generalizes Breuil's classification [Br02]; and computing mod p reductions in Theorem E for $\bar{k}_1 \leq p-1$. At the end of the paper we demonstrate explicitly construction of some families of integral Wach modules corresponding to 2-dimensional crystalline E -linear representations of G_K , which extends some constructions done in [BLZ04] for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Conventions. Throughout this paper p is a prime number. We assume $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p^a}$ the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree a everywhere (except declare otherwise in Section 4). Let E be an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and without loss of generality we assume K lies in E . Let $\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{O}_E$ be their rings of integers, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{m}_E$ be the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_E$. For any vector $\vec{v} = (v_i)_i$ with $v_i \in E$, let $\text{ord}_p(\vec{v}) = \min_j(\text{ord}_p v_j)$.

Let π be a variable. We write $\mathbf{A}_K^+ = \mathcal{O}_K[[\pi]]$, $\mathbf{A}_E^+ = \mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]$, $\mathbf{B}_K^+ = \mathbf{A}_K^+[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let $\mathbf{A}_K$ be the ring of power series $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i$ such that $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $a_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow -\infty$. Let $\mathbf{B}_K$ be its field of fractions. Let p -adic order $\text{ord}_p(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]$ (or $\mathcal{O}_K[[\pi]]$) be defined as the minimal p -adic order of polynomial coefficients. We have $\mathbf{A}_E/p\mathbf{A}_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E((\pi))$. For any $c \neq 0$ let $\mathcal{R}_{c,E}$ be the ring of all power series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s \pi^s$ in $E[[\pi]]$ such that $\text{ord}_p a_s \geq -\frac{s}{c}$. For instance, $\mathcal{R}_{\infty,E} = \mathbf{A}_E^+$.

Let I_K be the inertial group of G_K . Let ω_a be a (Serre's) fundamental character of I_K given by composing $I_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^a}^*$ (via local class field theory) with an embedding $\tau_0 : \mathbb{F}_{p^a} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let σ be the absolute Frobenius on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, then we may identify even element $\tau_j := \tau_0 \circ \sigma^j$ in $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^a}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ with j in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, we identify the subindex set $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ with $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^a}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ in this paper.

Acknowledgments. We thank Laurent Berger and Kiran Kedlaya for pointing out some key references to us during our research, with double thanks to Laurent Berger for helpful comments on an early version of this paper.

2. WEAKLY ADMISSIBLE FILTERED φ -MODULES

2.1. Preliminary algebra. A *filtered module* D over a ring $\mathcal{O}$ is a $\mathcal{O}$ -modules with decreasing filtration (i.e., $\text{Fil}^i D \supseteq \text{Fil}^{i+1} D$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$) that is separated (i.e., $\text{Fil}^i D = 0$ for $i \gg 0$) and exhaustive (i.e., $\text{Fil}^i D = D$ for $i \ll 0$). We say the filtration is *saturated* if $\text{Fil}^i D$ are saturated submodules. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a discrete valuation ring with

field of fractions Q , if $\mathcal{O}$ is a ring endowed with φ -action that is an automorphism on $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} Q$ such that $\varphi(cv) = \sigma(c)\varphi(v)$ for $c \in Q$ and $v \in D$, where σ is the absolute Frobenius of Q (i.e., the lifting of Frobenius $x \mapsto x^p$ on a residue field of $\mathcal{O}$) then D is a *filtered φ -module*.

A filtered φ -module over K is just a filtered K -vector space with an automorphism φ such that $\varphi(cv) = \sigma(c)\varphi(v)$ for the absolute Frobenius σ on K . The category of filtered φ -modules over K is denoted by $\mathbf{MF}(K)$. We write (D, Fil) for the filtered K -module D . Morphisms between filtered K -modules are *strict*, i.e., for any $f : (D, \text{Fil}) \rightarrow (D', \text{Fil})$ we have $f(\text{Fil}^i D) = f(D) \cap \text{Fil}^i D'$ unless otherwise declared.

We use Hodge polygon to describe the filtration data on (D, Fil) consisting of Hodge-Tate weights. The *Hodge polygon* of a filtered module D of dimension d over K is given by a d -tuple $\text{HP}(D/K) = (k_1, \dots, k_d) =: \mathbf{k}$ with $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_d$ such that $\text{Fil}^{k_i} D \supsetneq \text{Fil}^{k_i+1} D$, and the multiplicity of each k_i is equal to $\dim_K(\text{Fil}^{k_i} D / \text{Fil}^{k_i+1} D)$. We always assume $k_d \geq 0$ (i.e., D is effective) in this paper. Write $r_i := \dim_K \text{Fil}^{k_i} D$. Then we have $r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_d = d$ with the multiplicity of each value in the same pattern as that for $\text{HP}(D/K)$. Note that each Hodge polygon slope k_i has horizontal length equal to $r_i - r_{i-1}$ (by setting $r_0 := 0$). For any $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$ let D_α be the K -subvector space generated by those $w \in D$ with $\varphi^s(w) = p^r w$. Then $D = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} D_\alpha$. The *Newton polygon* of D is given by a d -tuple $\text{NP}(D/K) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ where $\beta_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ are of multiplicity $\dim_K D_{\beta_j}$, and $\beta_1 \geq \dots \geq \beta_d$. A filtered φ -module D over K is *weakly admissible* if for every subobject D' of D we have $\text{HP}(D'/K) \succ \text{NP}(D'/K)$ (where $\succ$ means ‘lies below’) and the endpoints for $\text{HP}(D/K)$ and $\text{NP}(D/K)$ coincide. Let $t_N(D/K) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \alpha \cdot \dim_K D_\alpha$ and $t_H(D/K) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \cdot \dim_K(\text{Fil}^i D / \text{Fil}^{i+1} D)$. Then the weakly admissibility is equivalent to that $t_H(D'/K) \leq t_N(D'/K)$, $t_H(D/K) = t_N(D/K)$ for all sub-object D' in D . Let $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K)$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{MF}(K)$ consisting of weakly admissible objects with strict morphisms. For any Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$, let $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K)$ be subcategory of $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K)$ consisting of those with $\text{HP}(V) = \mathbf{k}$.

A φ -lattice L in D with induced filtration is a free $\mathcal{O}_K$ -submodule of D such that

$$L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K = D, \quad \varphi(L) \subseteq L, \quad \text{Fil}^i L = \text{Fil}^i D \cap L.$$

For the rest of this section all filtration on a lattice L in D are induced from D . If $L = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ is a basis over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\text{Fil}^i L = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{\text{rank}(\text{Fil}^i L)} \rangle$ for every i , then it is called a *basis of L adapted to the filtration*. A basis of D over K adapted to the filtration is a basis adapted to the filtration for some lattices in D . The existence of such basis is due to the following proposition. (Remark: Fontaine-Rapoport [FR] called $D = \langle e_i \rangle_i$ a basis adapted to the filtration if $\text{Fil}^r D = \langle e_i \rangle_{r_i \geq r}$ where r_i is the maximal number r such that $e_i \in \text{Fil}^r D$. Our definition is slightly stronger.)

Proposition 2.1. (1) *If (L, Fil) is a saturated filtered $\mathcal{O}$ -module free of rank d for a principal ideal domain $\mathcal{O}$, then it contains a basis adapted to the filtration.*

(2) *Let (D, Fil) be any filtered K -module of dimension d . Let L be a $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice in D with $\text{Fil}^i L = \text{Fil}^i D \cap L$. Then there exists a basis $\langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ of L over $\mathcal{O}_K$ that is adapted to the filtration.*

Proof. Let d be the rank of L over $\mathcal{O}$. It suffices to show that if $L = L_0 \supseteq L_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq L_n \supsetneq 0$ for free saturated $\mathcal{O}_K$ -submodules L_i 's of rank r_i , then there exists

a basis $\langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ of L such that $L_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r_i} \rangle$. We shall induce on d . If $d = 1$ then this claim obviously holds. Pick a basis for the smallest filtration $N := L_n = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r_n} \rangle$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$. By taking quotient we get a filtration $L/N \supseteq L_1/N \supseteq \dots \supseteq L_{n-1}/N \supsetneq 0$ of free $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules. It is saturated since $L_i/L_{i+1} \cong (L_i/N)/(L_{i+1}/N)$ is torsion-free over $\mathcal{O}_K$. By induction $L/N = \langle \bar{e}_{r_n+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_d \rangle$ of rank $d - r_n < d$ satisfies that $L_i/N = \langle \bar{e}_{r_n+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_{r_n+r_i} \rangle$ for every i . Let $e_i \in L$ be a lift of $\bar{e}_i \in L/N$, then we have that $L_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{r_n}, e_{r_n+1}, \dots, e_{r_n+r_i} \rangle$ for every i . This proves part (1).

(2) Clearly $\text{Fil}^i L = \text{Fil}^i D \cap L$ is a full lattice in $\text{Fil}^i D$, i.e., it spans $\text{Fil}^i D$ over K . One notices that $\text{Fil}^{i+1} L$ is a saturated submodule of $\text{Fil}^i L$, namely $\text{Fil}^i L / \text{Fil}^{i+1} L$ is torsion-free (or zero). Indeed, if we have $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $x \in \text{Fil}^i L$ such that $rx \in \text{Fil}^{i+1} L$, then $x \in \text{Fil}^{i+1} D$ and hence $x \in \text{Fil}^{i+1} L$ because filtration on L is induced from that on D . Therefore part (2) follows from applying part (1) on (L, Fil) over $\mathcal{O}_K$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $D = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle / K$ be a filtered module with basis adapted to its filtration and let $\text{HP}(D/K) = (k_1, \dots, k_d) = \mathbf{k}$. Let $r_i = \dim_K \text{Fil}^{k_i} D$.*

(1) *The automorphism group of (D, Fil) is isomorphic to the subgroup $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, K}$ defined below in $\text{GL}_d(K)$ by sending every automorphism to its matrix with respect to the given basis $\langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$:*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, K} := \begin{pmatrix} \text{GL}_{r_1}(K) & * & * & * \\ 0 & \text{GL}_{r_2-r_1}(K) & * & * \\ \vdots & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \text{GL}_{d-r_{d-1}}(K) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $*$ are arbitrary entries in K .

(2) *Let L be a $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice in (D, Fil) with induced filtration. The automorphism group of (L, Fil) is*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}} &= \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) \cap \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, K} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{GL}_{r_1}(\mathcal{O}_K) & * & * & * \\ 0 & \text{GL}_{r_2-r_1}(\mathcal{O}_K) & * & * \\ \vdots & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \text{GL}_{d-r_{d-1}}(\mathcal{O}_K) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $*$ are arbitrary entries in $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. (1) Let θ be an automorphism of (D, Fil) . Write $\theta(e_1, \dots, e_d) = (e_1, \dots, e_d)\Theta$ for $\Theta \in \text{GL}_d(K)$. Then for every i we have $\theta(e_1, \dots, e_{r_i}) = (e_1, \dots, e_{r_i})A_{r_i}$ for some $A_{r_i} \in \text{GL}_{r_i}(K)$. Thus

$$\Theta \cdot \text{Diag}(\text{Id}_{r_i}, 0, \dots, 0) = \text{Diag}(A_{r_i}, 0, \dots, 0),$$

where Id_{r_i} is the identity matrix in $\text{GL}_{r_i}(K)$. This proves part (1).

(2) Similar argument with $\Theta \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and $A_{r_i} \in \text{GL}_{r_i}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. $\square$

We call the above groups $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, K}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the *parabolic group* and *integral parabolic group with respect to $\mathbf{k}$* , respectively. When there is no confusion in context we also call $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ the parabolic group of $\mathbf{k}$. For example, if the filtration data on D is maximal in the sense that $\dim \text{Fil}^i D = \dim \text{Fil}^{i+1} D + 1$ for every i , then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the Borel

subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Namely, it is the upper triangular matrix with $\mathcal{O}_K^*$ on the diagonal. Our definitions here are inspired by work of Fontaine-Rapoport [FR].

Proposition 2.3. (1) *Let (L, Fil) be a $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice in (D, Fil) with induced filtration, let f be an automorphism of (D, Fil) and let $L' = f(L)$. Write $L = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ and $L' = \langle e'_1, \dots, e'_d \rangle$ for bases of L and L' adapted to the filtration, respectively. Then*

$$f(e_1, \dots, e_d) = (e'_1, \dots, e'_d) \cdot C$$

for some $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

- (2) *If $D = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ is a basis of D adapted to the filtration, then there is $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $(e'_1, \dots, e'_d) := (e_1, \dots, e_d) \cdot C$ forms a basis for L that is adapted to the filtration.*
- (3) *Let L be a φ -lattice of $(D, \mathrm{Fil}, \varphi)$. Let Φ and Φ' be matrices of φ with respect to bases $\langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ and $\langle e'_1, \dots, e'_d \rangle$ of L both adapted to the filtration, respectively. Then $\Phi' = C^{-1}\Phi C^\sigma$ for some $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2(1), $\mathrm{Mat}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, K}$. But f is an isomorphism between two $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattices, so $\mathrm{Mat}(f) \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and hence $\mathrm{Mat}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then part (2) follows immediately by setting $f : e_j \mapsto e'_j$. Let θ be the automorphism of L that $\theta(e_1, \dots, e_d) = (e'_1, \dots, e'_d)C$ as in part (1). Since $\theta\varphi = \varphi\theta$, and φ is K -semilinear, we have $C\Phi' = \Phi C^\sigma$ where σ is the absolute Frobenius on K . This proves part (3). $\square$

2.2. Construction of crystalline representations. In this subsection we let (D, Fil) be given with $\mathrm{HP}(D/K) = (k_1, \dots, k_d) =: \mathbf{k}$, where $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_d$, of dimension d as K -vector space. We write $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} := \mathrm{Diag}(p^{k_1}, \dots, p^{k_d})$. For any matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d} \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$, define $C^\flat := \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} C \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} = (p^{k_i - k_j} c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$. If $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ then clearly $C^\flat \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$. We define two matrices A, B in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ being *parabolic equivalent* with respect to $\mathbf{k}$, denoted by $A \sim_{\mathbf{k}} B$, if $A = C^{-1}BC^\flat$ for some matrix C in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$; We say they are σ -linear parabolic equivalent with respect to $\mathbf{k}$, denoted by $A \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} B$, if $A = C^{-1}B(C^\flat)^\sigma$. Note that if $\mathrm{HP}(D/K) = (0, \dots, 0)$ then $A \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} B$ if and only if $A = C^{-1}BC^\sigma$. We denote the orbits of the equivalence classes by $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)/\sim_{\mathbf{k}}$. Namely, it can be considered the orbits of map $A \rightarrow C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma$ in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Lemma 2.4. *For any K -module D' of dimension d , let $f : (D, \mathrm{Fil}) \rightarrow D'$ be a K -semilinear (or K -linear) isomorphism of K -modules. Let M, M' be $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattices in D, D' respectively with a map $f|_M : M \rightarrow M'$. If $f(\mathrm{Fil}^i M) \subseteq p^i M'$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i} f(\mathrm{Fil}^i M) = M'$, then there exists a basis for M adapted to the filtration such that $\mathrm{Mat}(f|_M) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $A \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. The converse also holds.*

Proof. Choose a basis of $M = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ adapted to its filtration (see Proposition 2.1). Let $M' = \langle e'_1, \dots, e'_d \rangle$ be any basis of M' . Let $r_i = \dim \mathrm{Fil}^{k_i} M$. Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have

$$(1) \quad f(e_1, \dots, e_{r_i}) = (e'_1, \dots, e'_{r_i}) \cdot \mathrm{Mat}(f) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{r_i \times r_i} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times r_i}.$$

By induction on i the first condition in this lemma is equivalent to that $A := \mathrm{Mat}(f) \cdot \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. The second condition says that the column vectors in the matrix A generate M' over $\mathcal{O}_K$, that is $A \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Changing basis for

M' clearly does not change the form of $\text{Mat}(f) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. Suppose we change the basis of M from $\langle e_j \rangle$ to $\langle b_j \rangle$, then $(b_1, \dots, b_d) = (e_1, \dots, e_d)C$ for some $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ by Proposition 2.1(2): Suppose φ is K -semilinear (the case that φ is K -linear is similar), then by Proposition 2.3(3) the matrix of f with respect to basis $\langle b_j \rangle$ is $\text{Mat}(f|_{\langle b_j \rangle}) = C^{-1}A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}C^\sigma = C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. It is clear that $C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{Mat}(f|_M) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. It suffices to show that $f(\text{Fil}^{k_i}M) \subseteq p^{k_i}M'$ for all i and $\sum_i p^{-k_i}f(\text{Fil}^{k_i}M) = M'$. By (1) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_1, \dots, e_{r_i}) &= (e'_1, \dots, e'_d)A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{r_i \times r_i} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times r_i} \\ &= (e'_1, \dots, e'_d)A \begin{pmatrix} \text{Diag}(p^{k_1}, \dots, p^{k_i}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times r_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_d$ we have that $f(\text{Fil}^{k_i}M) \subseteq p^{k_i}M'$. This also shows that for every k_i we have

$$(2) \quad p^{-k_i}f(e_1, \dots, e_{r_i}) = (e'_1, \dots, e'_d)A \begin{pmatrix} \text{Diag}(p^{k_1-k_i}, \dots, 1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{d \times r_i}.$$

Since $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ The image in (2) generates the submodule $\langle e'_{r_i+1}, \dots, e'_{r_i} \rangle$ of M' . Hence the sum of these submodules generates the entire M' . $\square$

Following Fontaine-Laffaille's notations, a *strongly divisible φ -lattice* L over $\mathcal{O}_K$ in D is a free $\mathcal{O}_K$ -submodule in D such that

$$L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K = D, \quad \varphi(L) \subseteq L, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i D \cap L) = L.$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let L be a φ -lattice in (D, Fil, φ) with induced filtration. Then (L, Fil, φ) is strongly divisible if and only if there is a basis of L adapted to the filtration such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for some $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 2.4 to the K -semilinear automorphism φ of D . $\square$

For any matrix M in $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is a principal ideal domain (e.g., $\mathcal{O} = K$ or $\mathbf{B}_K^+$), there exists invertible matrices C, D in $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O})$ such that CMD is a diagonal matrix in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O})$ with entries on the diagonal equal to p -powers with non-increasing p -adic valuations. We call the (ordered) d -tuple of diagonal entries of CMD the *Hodge polygon of matrix M* . If f is an endomorphism of free modules L over $\mathcal{O}$ then we denote $\text{HP}(f|_L) := \text{HP}(\text{Mat}(f))$. This Hodge polygon is related to Hodge polygon of filtered modules we defined earlier.

Proposition 2.6. *For any $\mathbf{k}$, there is a bijection $\Xi : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K)$ by sending every $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ to the filtered φ -module with $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_D) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ with respect to a basis adapted to filtration.*

Proof. (i) Suppose (D, Fil, φ) is weakly admissible, then there is a strongly divisible φ -lattice L such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = A \cdot \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. Any isomorphism $(D, \text{Fil}, \varphi) \longrightarrow (D, \text{Fil}, \varphi')$ gives rise to a (strict) isomorphism $f : L \rightarrow L'$ as filtered φ -modules that is φ - and $G(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant where $L' = f(L)$. Since f commutes with φ and Fil^i it follows from the definition above Corollary 2.5 that L' is necessarily a strongly divisible φ -lattice in $(D, \text{Fil}, \varphi')$. Hence by Proposition 2.1 we may choose

bases of L and L' such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = A \cdot \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{L'}) = A' \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ where $A, A' \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Since $f(\text{Fil}^i L) = \text{Fil}^i L'$ for all i we have $\text{Mat}(f|_L) = Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with respect to any bases of L and L' adapted to the filtration on D . This Q is unique up to conjugation in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ by Proposition 2.3. But since $f\varphi = \varphi'f$ on L , and φ is K -semilinear, we have $QA\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = A'\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}Q^\sigma$. Hence we have $A' = C^{-1}A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}C^\sigma\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} = C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma$. This proves one direction of the correspondence.

(ii) Let $L = \langle e_i \rangle_i$ be a $\mathcal{O}_K$ -lattice in (D, Fil) with basis adapted to its induced filtration. For any $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ define an endomorphism of L by $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) := A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. Because $\det(\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L)) \neq 0$, the map φ induces an automorphism of (D, Fil) . By Corollary 2.5, L is a strongly divisible φ -lattice in (D, Fil, φ) with induced filtration. We let $(D, \text{Fil}, \varphi')$ be associated to another matrix $A' \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ in the same way, and it is weakly admissible as well. Suppose $A \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} A'$ and $A' = C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma$ for some $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then the matrix $C^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}$ defines an automorphism θ of (D, Fil) by Lemma 2.2. The hypothesis $A' = C^{-1}A(C^\flat)^\sigma$ is equivalent to that $\theta\varphi = \varphi'\theta$. Thus θ gives rise to an isomorphism as filtered φ -modules. This proves the other direction of the above correspondence. $\square$

Proof of Theorem A. Let $\mathbf{V}_{\text{cris}}^*$ be the quasi-inverse of functor $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*$. Composing the bijection Ξ in Proposition 2.6 and the equivalence functor $\mathbf{V}_{\text{cris}}^*$, we get the desired bijection

$$\Theta : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} \xrightarrow{\Xi} \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{V}_{\text{cris}}^*} \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K).$$

$\square$

Remark 2.7 (Metric on $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$). The bijection Θ of Theorem A allows us to define a non-Archimedean metric (p -adic) on $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ by endow such metric on $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}}$. Namely, let $|A - A'|_p := p^{-\text{ord}_p(A - A')}$ be the p -adic metric on $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ for any $A, A' \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Let $||[A] - [A']||_p$ be a quotient metric on $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}}$ defined by

$$|[A] - [A']|_p = \sup_{C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}} |C_1^{-1}AC_1^\flat - C_2^{-1}A'C_2^\flat|_p.$$

For any $V, V' \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$, define $\text{dist}(V, V') := |[A] - [A']|_p$. It is clear that $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is compact as $\mathcal{O}_K$ is compact under $|\cdot|_p$. With quotient metric, $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) / \sim_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}}$ is also compact. Hence our metric defined above makes $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ a compact space.

2.3. Irreducibility in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ and $\mathbf{k}' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_{d'})$ be Hodge polygons. If $\mathbf{k}'$ is a sub-polygon of $\mathbf{k}$, then we denote this partial order by $\mathbf{k}' \subseteq \mathbf{k}$. For example $(3, 2, 2, 0) \subseteq (5, 4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0)$. Let $\Theta : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K) \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ be the bijection in Theorem A.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ be such that $\Theta(A) = V$. Then V is irreducible if and only if for any $\mathbf{k}' \subsetneq \mathbf{k}$ there is no $A' \in \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and no $C \in M_{d \times d'}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of rank d' such that $C = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}C^\sigma(A'\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'})^{-1}$.*

Proof. That V' is a proper subobject of V if and only if $D' = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V')$ is a proper subobject of $D = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$. By Corollary 2.5, there is a strongly divisible φ -lattice L in D such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\Theta(A) = V$ with respect to a basis adapted to filtration $L = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$. That $V' \subseteq V$ implies that their corresponding HP has

$\mathbf{k}' \subsetneq \mathbf{k}$. We can choose a strongly divisible lattice L' in V' such that $(e'_1, \dots, e'_{d'}) = (e_1, \dots, e_d)C$ is a basis in L' adapted to filtration for some $C \in M_{d \times d'}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of rank d' , and such that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{L'}) = A'\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'}$ with $A' \in \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Thus by simple semi-linear algebra we have $CA'\Delta_{\mathbf{k}'} = A\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}C^\sigma$. Thus our assertion follows. $\square$

A generalization of Proposition 2.8 to $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ is given in Proposition 3.11.

Remark 2.9. Let V be any 2-dim crystalline representation of G_K whose Hodge polygon is $(k, 0)$ with $k > 0$. Then it is known that V is irreducible if and only if $\text{ord}_p \text{tr}(\text{Mat}(\varphi)) = \text{ord}_p \text{tr}(A \cdot \text{Diag}(p^k, 1)) > 0$. Our criterion in Proposition 2.8 can be used to recover Breuil's classification (see [Br02]), namely, V is irreducible if and only if there is an unramified character η such that $\Theta^{-1}(V \otimes \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & a_p \end{pmatrix}$ for some $a_p \in \mathfrak{m}_K$. Meanwhile V is reducible if and only if there is an unramified character η such that $\Theta^{-1}(V \otimes \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & u \end{pmatrix}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ and $v \in \mathcal{O}_K$. A generalization of this classification to $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ in 2-dimensional case lies in Theorem 5.2 (or Theorem D), see more discussion in Section 5.1.

3. EMBEDDED STRONGLY DIVISIBLE φ -LATTICES

We assume $K = \mathbb{Q}_{p^a}$ and E is an extension of K . A φ -action on $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module D is an automorphism on D that is defined by $\varphi((c_K \otimes c_E)y) = (\sigma(c_K) \otimes c_E)\varphi(y)$ for $c_K \in K, c_E \in E$ and $y \in D$. Let $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ denote the category of filtered φ -modules over $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. This category is extensively studied in the work by Breuil and Mezard [BM02]. See also [Sa04] for potential version of Breuil-Mezard. There is a natural forgetful functor $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \rightarrow \mathbf{MF}(K)$ by forgetting E -module structure. A free filtered φ -module D in $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ is *weakly admissible* if it is weakly admissible as a K -module in $\mathbf{MF}(K)$ by forgetting the E -vector space structure. Let $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(\cdot)$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbf{MF}(\cdot)$ consisting of weakly admissible filtered φ -modules. At present an effective and convenient criterion for weakly admissibility (when K is unramified) is via the existence of strongly divisible φ -lattices in D (see [Laf80] and [FL82]). This section explores a generalization of this theory from $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K)$ to $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$.

We define two sets of Newton and Hodge polygons for each $D \in \mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, embedded Newton and Hodge polygon in Section 3.1 and σ -invariant Newton and Hodge polygons in Section 3.2. Our σ -invariant polygons are essentially the polygons of D considered [BS07, Section 3] after normalized by a factor $1/a$.

3.1. Embedded Newton and Hodge polygons. For Sections 3 and 4, let $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ where $\mathbf{k}_j = (k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jd})$ with $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{jd}$. Write $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} := \text{Diag}(p^{k_{j,1}}, \dots, p^{k_{j,d}})$. To be self-contained, we recall some preliminaries in this section, see [BM02] for reference. Let $D \in \mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. We identify the following group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p^a}/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle \tau^i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ that sends each τ^i to i . There is a natural isomorphism $\theta : K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} E$ defined by $x \otimes y \mapsto (\sigma^j(x)y)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$, where σ is the absolute Frobenius on K . Let $e_{\sigma^j} := (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ with 1 at j -th position in $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} E$ and let $D_j := e_{\sigma^j} D$. Then we have an isomorphism $\theta : D \rightarrow \prod_j D_j$ as E -vector spaces. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$, let (D_j, Fil) be induced from (D, Fil) , that is, $\text{Fil}^i D_j := \text{Fil}^i D \cap D_j = e_{\sigma^j} \text{Fil}^i D$. It is a filtered module of dimension d over E . Write $\text{HP}(D_j/E) = \mathbf{k}_j$. We call $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(D) := \text{HP}(D_j/E)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ the *embedded*

Hodge polygon of (D, Fil) . We say D is *effective* if $k_{jd} \geq 0$ for all j . In the literature the set $\{k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jd}\}$ (without order) is also called *Hodge-Tate weight with respect to τ^j* (see for instance the notation of Gee [Ge08b, Section 4.2]).

By assuming $\theta\varphi = \varphi\theta$ on D we have for every $x \otimes y \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ and $v \in D$ that

$$(3) \quad \varphi((\sigma^0 x) y v, \dots, (\sigma^{a-1} x) y v) = ((\sigma^1 x) y \varphi(v), \dots, (\sigma^0 x) y \varphi(v)).$$

Extending by linearity this defines a map φ on $\prod_j D_j$. This yields an isomorphism $\theta : D \longrightarrow \prod_j D_j$ in $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$.

Below we shall consider the lattices in $D \in \mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Recall Fontaine's notation that a morphism $f : (L, \text{Fil}) \rightarrow (L', \text{Fil})$ between filtered modules is *strict* if $f(\text{Fil}^i L) = f(D) \cap \text{Fil}^i L'$, where L is an $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ -module or $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -modules. A φ -lattice L over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ in D with induced filtration is a $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ -module in D such that

$$L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E} (K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) = D, \quad \varphi(L) \subseteq L, \quad \text{Fil}^i L = \text{Fil}^i D \cap L.$$

It is a *strongly divisible φ -lattice* if, in addition, it satisfies $\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) \subseteq p^i L$ and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i} \varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) = L.$$

For $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ our strongly divisible lattice is exactly the one defined in Fontaine-Laffaille's theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *The induced map $\theta : \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_E$ is a $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra isomorphism compatible with φ and $G(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -actions. Moreover, we have $L \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} L_j$ with $L_j = L \cdot e_{\sigma_j}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the first statement. As a complete discrete valuation ring, $\mathcal{O}_K$ is generated by one element over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/f(x)$ for some irreducible $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ of degree a . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/f(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E \cong \mathcal{O}_E[x]/f(x) \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_E$$

since roots of irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_K$ and hence $\mathcal{O}_E$, since $K \subseteq E$. $\square$

Obviously the endomorphism φ of D does not induce an endomorphism on D_j . However, from (3) we have that $\varphi(D_j) \cong D_{j-1}$. Thus we have $\varphi(L_j) \subseteq \varphi(L) \cap \varphi(D_j) \subseteq L \cap D_{j-1} = L_{j-1}$. Since $[L : \varphi(L)]$ is finite, the inclusion $\varphi(L_j) \subseteq L_{j-1}$ is of finite index for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let D be a free filtered φ -module in $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Any φ -lattice L over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$ in D is strongly divisible if and only if on the embedded form $L \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} L_j$ we have $\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j) \subseteq p^i L_{j-1}$ and*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i} \varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j) = L_{j-1}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose D is weakly admissible then a φ -lattice L of D is strongly divisible if for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i} \varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j) \subseteq L_{j-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\theta : \text{Fil}^i L \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \text{Fil}^i L_j$ and $\varphi \prod_j L_j = \prod_j \varphi(L_j)$. Hence,

$$p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) = p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i \prod_j L_j) = \prod_j p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j).$$

So,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \prod_j p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j).$$

By definition L is strongly divisible if and only if

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) = \prod_j L_{j-1}.$$

Comparing the right-hand-sides of the equations (5) and (6), we have that L is strongly divisible if and only if $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L_j) = L_{j-1}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose D is weakly admissible, then by the hypothesis we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) \subseteq L$$

and hence L is strongly divisible by Fontaine-Laffaille theory. $\square$

Let L be a φ -lattice in D with induced filtration. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ be the integral parabolic group with respect to $\mathbf{k}_j$, as defined in Section 2.1. We say two a -tuples $(A_0, \dots, A_{a-1})$ and $(A'_0, \dots, A'_{a-1})$ with matrices $A_j, A'_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$ are *parabolic equivalent with respect to $\mathbf{k}$* $= (\mathbf{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ if there exists an a -tuple $(C_0, \dots, C_{a-1})$ with $C_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ such that $A'_j = C_{j-1}^{-1} A_j C_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. We denote it by $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \sim_{\mathbf{k}} (A'_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$.

We remark that this equivalence does not imply that the equivalence $A_j \sim_{\mathbf{k}_j} A'_j$ (as defined in §2.2) for every j when $a \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $D \in \mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ be free with filtration data $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} = (\mathbf{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$. Write $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} := \text{Diag}(p^{k_{j1}}, \dots, p^{k_{jd}})$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) D is weakly admissible;
- (2) there exists a strongly divisible φ -lattice L over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$ in D ;
- (3) there exists a φ -lattice $L = \prod_j L_j$ over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes \mathcal{O}_E$ in D such that with respect to a suitable basis we have $\Phi_j := \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ for some $A_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$.

Proof. Laffaille's theorem [Laf80] asserts that (2) $\implies$ (1). By Laffaille's theorem again, (1) implies there is a strongly divisible φ -lattice L' over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $L = L' \mathcal{O}_E := \sum_{i=1}^d L' e_i$ where $\mathcal{O}_E = \langle e_1, \dots, e_d \rangle$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. It is clearly a module over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ in the natural way. Since filtration is E -linear, we can show that L is strongly divisible over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ as desired in (2), indeed we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L' \mathcal{O}_E) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-i}\varphi(\text{Fil}^i L') \mathcal{O}_E = L' \mathcal{O}_E.$$

By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that (2) $\iff$ (3). $\square$

Let $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ consisting of objects with $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(D) = \mathbf{k}$. Then we have the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *There is a bijection between $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\mathbf{MF}^{\mathrm{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, which sends $(A_0, \dots, A_{a-1})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ to a strongly divisible φ -lattice $L = (L_j)_j$ such that $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ with respect to a basis adapted to the filtration.*

Proof. Consider an isomorphism $f : (D, \mathrm{Fil}, \varphi) \rightarrow (D, \mathrm{Fil}, \varphi')$ in the category $\mathbf{MF}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Let L, L' be strongly divisible φ -lattice (φ' -lattice) in D, D' respectively where $L' = f(L)$. By Proposition 3.3, we have $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_j$ and $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{L'_j}) = A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ for some $A_j \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$. The restriction maps $f(\mathrm{Fil}^i D_j) = \mathrm{Fil}^i D'_j$ and $f(L_j) = L'_j$ assure that $\mathrm{Mat}(f|_{L_j}) =: Q_j \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ by Proposition 2.3(1). Since $f\varphi = \varphi'f$ on L_j , we have $Q_{j-1}(A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}) = (A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j})Q_j$. That is, $A'_j = Q_{j-1}A_j(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} Q_j^{-1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^{-1})$, i.e., $A'_j = C_{j-1}^{-1} A_j C_j^b$. We leave the converse direction as an exercise to the readers. $\square$

It is easy to see that isomorphism classes in $\mathbf{MF}^{\mathrm{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ upon a twist of an unramified character is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of parabolic equivalence classes of a -tuple $(A_0, \dots, A_{a-1})$ upon multiplication by units $(u_0, \dots, u_{a-1})$ componentwise.

Let $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ denote the subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$ consisting of objects with embedded Hodge polygon $\mathrm{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbf{k}$. By Proposition 3.4 we may define a map

$$\Theta : \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$$

defined by composing the equivalence functor $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*$ and the bijection given in Proposition 3.4. This is a generalization of Theorem A to $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$, and we formulate this in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem A'). *Let $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ be embedded Hodge polygons. Then there is a bijection*

$$\Theta : \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$$

which sends every $(A_j)_j \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ to a crystalline E -linear representation V whose $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$ contains embedded strongly divisible φ -lattice $L = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} L_j$ such that $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$, with respect to a basis adapted to filtration.

Remark 3.6 (Metric on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$). Similar to Remark 2.7, we define a metric to make $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ a compact metric space. Write $A = (A_j)_j$ and $A' = (A'_j)_j$ that represent V and V' in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$ as given in Theorem A'. Let

$$(7) \quad \mathrm{dist}(V, V') := |[A] - [A']|_p = \sup_{B, B'} \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} |B_j - B'_j|_p$$

where the sup ranges over all $B = (B_j) \sim_{\mathbf{k}} A$ and $B' = (B'_j) \sim_{\mathbf{k}} A'$.

Let V and V' be in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$ of dimension d and d' , of Hodge polygon $\mathrm{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V) = \mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_j)_j = (k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jd})_j$ and $\mathrm{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V') = \mathbf{k}' = (\mathbf{k}'_j)_j = (k'_{j1}, \dots, k'_{jd'})_j$, respectively. Let $A := (A_j)_j$ in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ and $A' := (A'_j)_j$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$. Let $\Theta(A) = V$ and $\Xi(A') = V'$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}' &:= (k_{j1}, \dots, k_{jd}, k'_{j1}, \dots, k'_{jd'})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{re-order if necessary,} \\ \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}' &:= ((k_{ji} + k'_{ji'})_{i, i'})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{where } 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq i' \leq d', \text{ re-order if necessary,} \\ A \oplus A' &:= (\mathrm{Diag}(A_j, A'_j))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{d+d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a, \\ A \otimes A' &:= (A_j \otimes A'_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{dd'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let notations be as above. Let $V = \Theta(A)$ be in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ and $V' = \Theta(A')$ be in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$. Then Θ induces the following injective maps:*

$$(1) \quad \Theta : (\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E) \oplus \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_E))^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}'} \hookrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$$

defined by $\Theta(A \oplus A') = V \oplus V'$ whose images are a subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$ consisting of a direct sum from $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ and $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$;

$$(2) \quad \Theta : (\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E) \otimes \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_E))^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}'} \hookrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$$

defined by $\Theta(A \otimes A') = V \otimes V'$, whose images are a subcategory of $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$ consisting of a tensor product from $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ and $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}'}(G_K)$.

Proof. It suffices to show

- (1) $\Theta(A \oplus A') = V \oplus V'$ and $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V \oplus V') = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}'$
- (2) $\Theta(A \otimes A') = V \otimes V'$ and $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V \otimes V') = \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{k}'$.

We should give a complete proof for part (2) and omit the relatively easier and similar part (1). Let $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V) = D = \prod_j D_j$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V') = D' = \prod_j D'_j$. Note that $\prod_j D_j \otimes \prod_j D'_j = \prod_j D_j \otimes D'_j$. The action of φ with respect to a basis adapted to filtration is

$$\prod_j \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{D_j}) \otimes \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{D'_j}) = \prod_j (A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}) \otimes (A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'_j}) = \prod_j (A_j \otimes A'_j)(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'_j}).$$

One observes that the tensor product of two diagonal matrices

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} \otimes \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'_j} = \text{Diag}(p^{k_{j,1}+k'_{j,1}}, \dots, p^{k_{j,d}+k'_{j,d}}).$$

This shows that the bijection $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ in Proposition 3.4 sends $A \otimes A'$ to $D \otimes D'$. Apply the equivalence functor $\mathbf{V}_{\text{cris}}^*$ on Tannekian categories, we have $\Theta(A \otimes A') = \mathbf{V}_{\text{cris}}^*(D \otimes D') = V \otimes V'$. $\square$

For completeness and the convenience of the reader, we compile the following facts. They are easy to verify by Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.7.

- Remark 3.8.* (1) Write $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^a$. Every unramified character η lies in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$ with $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$. Namely, $\eta = \Theta(\vec{u})$ in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{0}}(G_K)$ for some $\vec{u} \in (\mathcal{O}_E^*)^a$.
- (2) For any $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$, write $\varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}} := \Theta((1, \dots, 1))$. In other words, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(\varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}})$ has $\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (p^{k_0}, \dots, p^{k_{a-1}})$ with respect to a basis adapted to filtration.

Every crystalline character in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$ is of the form $\varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}} \otimes \eta$ for some unramified character η .

- (3) For any embedded Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k} = (k_{j,1}, \dots, k_{j,d})_j$, let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$. Let $\vec{w} := (-k_{0,d}, \dots, -k_{a-1,d})$ then the embedded Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V \otimes \varepsilon_{a, \vec{w}}) = (k_{j,1} - k_{j,d}, \dots, 0)_j$. This verifies that we may always assume $k_{jd} = 0$ for all j by a twist.
- (4) Let V be a 2-dimensional crystalline representation with embedded Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(V) = \mathbf{k} = (k_j, 0)_j$.

(a) If V is decomposable (i.e., split), then we have

$$V \cong \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}^{(1)}} & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}^{(2)}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

for some $\vec{k}^{(1)} \oplus \vec{k}^{(2)} = \mathbf{k}$ and unramified character η .

(b) If V is reducible then

$$V \cong \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}^{(1)}} & \star \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{a, \vec{k}^{(2)}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

for some $\vec{k}^{(1)} \oplus \vec{k}^{(2)} = \mathbf{k}$ and unramified character η .

(c) Irreducible V 's are discussed in Section 5.1.

3.2. Newton and Hodge polygons and their σ -invariant variation. We define σ -invariant Newton and Hodge polygons of the embedded filtered φ -module $D = \prod_j D_j$ over $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ in this subsection. They will play a vital role in our Fontaine-Laffaille type theorem developed in this paper, see for example Theorem B. These definitions are classical and exist already in the literature under some disguise, see for example, [MV07, Introduction] and [BS07, Section 3].

Let $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(D) = \mathbf{k} = (k_{j,1}, \dots, k_{j,d})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$. For every i let $\bar{k}_i := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} k_{ji}$, then we call the d -tuple $(\bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_d)$ in $\mathbb{Q}^d$ the σ -invariant Hodge polygon of (D, Fil) over $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$, denoted by $\text{HP}^\sigma(D/K \otimes_F E)$. Note that $\text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^\sigma(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)) = \bar{k}_1 - \bar{k}_d$, and it is equal to $\bar{k}_1$ when we assume all $k_{jd} = 0$ (see Remark 3.8(3)). Correspondingly, we define the σ -invariant Newton polygon by

$$\text{NP}^\sigma(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) := \frac{1}{a} \text{NP}(\varphi^a|_{D_0}).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} t_H(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) &:= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i \cdot \dim_E(\text{Fil}^i(D_j)/\text{Fil}^{i+1}(D_j)), \\ t_N(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) &:= \frac{1}{a} \text{ord}_p(\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p^a}(\varphi^a|_{D_0})). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_H(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{k}_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^d k_{ji}, \\ t_N(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\alpha}_i \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{NP}^\sigma(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) = (\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_d)$.

Let $t_H(D)$ and $t_N(D)$ be defined as in [BS07, Section 3], then one can see that $t_H(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) = \frac{1}{a} t_H(D)$ and $t_N(D/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) = \frac{1}{a} t_N(D)$. In other words, the σ -invariant polygons are $1/a$ multiple of the polygon defined by Breuil-Schneider. We formulate these relations as follows:

Proposition 3.9. *The following statements are equivalent*

- (i) (D, Fil, φ) is weakly admissible.
- (ii) $\text{NP}^\sigma(D'/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \prec \text{HP}^\sigma(D'/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ for every sub-object D' of D , and equality holds for D .

- (iii) the inequality $t_N(D'/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \geq t_H(D'/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ holds for every sub-object D' of D , and equality holds for D .
- (iv) $t_N(D') \geq t_H(D')$ holds for every sub-object D' of D , and equality holds for D .

Proof. It is Fontaine and Fontaine-Colmez's theorem [Fo88a][Fo88b][CF00] that (i) $\iff$ (ii). See discussion in [BS07, Section 3]. It is well known that (ii) $\iff$ (iii) see for example [Fo79]. The equality (iii) $\iff$ (iv) is clear from remark above this proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.10. For two dimensional case, suppose D is of embedded Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(D) = (k_j, 0)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$, then $\text{HP}^\sigma(D) = (\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j, 0) = (\bar{k}_1, 0)$. If D is weakly admissible, then $\text{NP}^\sigma(D) = (\bar{k}_1 - \alpha, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ since it lies over $\text{HP}^\sigma(D)$. In Breuil-Schneider's notation, the Hodge polygon is of slopes $0, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j$ and the Newton polygon is of slopes $a\alpha, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j - a\alpha$.

3.3. Irreducibility. Given any Hodge polygons $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{k}' = (\mathbf{k}'_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$, if $\mathbf{k}'_j$ is a sub-polygon of $\mathbf{k}_j$ for every j , then we denote it by $\mathbf{k}' \subseteq \mathbf{k}$. Let Θ be the bijection in Theorem 3.5, we assume that $V = \Theta(A)$ where $A = (A_j)_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$. For any Hodge polygon $\mathbf{k}$ and $A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ let $P_{(j),A} := \prod_{l=0}^{a-1} A_{l+j+1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_{l+j+1}} = (A_{j+1} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_{j+1}})(A_{j+2} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_{j+2}}) \cdots (A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j})$, where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} = \text{Diag}(p^{k_{j1}}, \dots, p^{k_{jd}})$.

In the bijection $\Theta : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ (see Theorem 3.5) we identify the pre-image of those irreducible representations in the following proposition. Its application in this paper lies in Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ and $\Theta^{-1}(V) = A \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$. Then the followings are equivalent to each other*

- (i) V is irreducible.
- (ii) There do not exist $\mathbf{k}' \subsetneq \mathbf{k}$ of length d' , $A' \in \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$, and $C = (C_j)_j \in M_{d \times d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ with $\text{rank}(C_j) = d'$, such that

$$(8) \quad C_{j-1} = (A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}) C_j (A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}'_j})^{-1}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

- (iii) There do not exist $\mathbf{k}' \subsetneq \mathbf{k}$ of length d' , $A' \in \text{GL}_{d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$, and $C = (C_j)_j \in M_{d \times d'}(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ with $\text{rank}(C_j) = d'$, such that any one of equations (8) replaced by

$$(9) \quad C_j = P_{(j),A} \cdot C_j \cdot (P_{(j),A'})^{-1}$$

Proof. The equivalence between parts i) and ii) follows the same argument as in that of Proposition 2.8, combined with our results in Section 3 for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$, hence we omit it here. The equivalence of parts ii) and iii) can be seen by iteration of (8) a many times and arrive at (9). $\square$

4. CONSTRUCTING EMBEDDED WACH MODULES

We prove Theorems B and C in this section. Our tool is strongly divisible lattices in Section 3, and theory of Wach modules developed by Fontaine, Wach [Wa96], Colmez [Co99], and Berger [BE04]. It should be evident to the reader that we benefit especially from recent papers and exposition by Berger [BE04] and Berger-Breuil [BB04].

4.1. (φ, γ) -equations and basic arithmetic. This subsection contains some essential yet rather technical results we shall need in the rest of paper. For this subsection (only) K and E are complete discrete valuation fields of characteristic 0 with perfect residue field of characteristic p . Write μ_{p^∞} for the set of all p -power roots of unity in $\overline{K}$ and let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$. Let χ be the p -adic cyclotomic character $G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, which in fact factors through Γ_K , defined by $g(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi(g)}$ for any $g \in G_K$ and $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$. Let π be a variable. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, let φ, γ be E -linear operators on $K[[\pi]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ commuting with each other such that $\varphi(\pi) = (1+\pi)^p - 1$ and $\gamma(\pi) = (1+\pi)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1$. Let φ acts σ -semilinearly on K , where σ is the absolute Frobenius on K .

Write $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$. Notice that $q^{\gamma^{-1}} \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$. For any integer $b \geq 1$ let $\lambda_b := \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi^{bn}(q)}{p}$. It is not hard to see that $\lambda_b \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{Q}_p[[\pi]]$. Even though λ_b does not lie in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ for $b > 1$, we have $\lambda_b^{\gamma^{-1}} \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ (since $\lambda_b^{\gamma^{-1}} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi^{bn}(q^{\gamma^{-1}})$). Recall definition of $\mathcal{R}_{c,E}$ from the end of Section 1. It is clear $q/p = 1 + \pi + \dots + p^{-1}\pi^{p-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$. In this paper our convention is $g^{\varphi^{-1}} = \frac{\varphi(g)}{g}$ for any g .

- Lemma 4.1.** (i) *For a power series $h \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$ there is a unique $g \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$ such that $g^{\varphi^b-1} = h$ for any $b \geq 1$. Let c be any nonzero number, then we have $h \in \mathcal{R}_{c,E}$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{R}_{c,E}$. (In particular, h is integral if and only if g is integral.)*
- (ii) *Let notation be as in Part (i). Let $f(\varphi, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varphi, \gamma]$. Then $g^{\varphi^b-1} = (q/p)^{f(\varphi, \gamma)}$ has a unique solution $g \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$ and $g = \lambda_b^{-f(\varphi, \gamma)}$. Moreover, we have $\lambda_b \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$.*
- (iii) *Part (i) holds if $\varphi^b - 1$ is replaced by $\varphi^b + 1$. The unique solution to $g^{\varphi^b+1} = (q/p)^{f(\varphi, \gamma)}$ is $g = \lambda_{2b}^{-f(\varphi, \gamma)(\varphi^b-1)}$.*
- (iv) *If $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ has infinite order, then for any $f \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$, there exists a unique $h \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$ satisfying $h^{\gamma^{-1}} = f$.*

Proof. (i) Let $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \pi^n$ with $g_0 = 1$. We shall prove our assertion by induction on n . Suppose we have $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in E$ such that $g^{\varphi^b} \equiv hg \pmod{\pi^n}$. We claim that there exists a unique $g_n \in E$ such that $g^{\varphi^b} \equiv hg \pmod{\pi^{n+1}}$. Comparing the coefficients of π^n we see that the latter congruence is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad g_n = g_n^{\varphi^b} p^{nb} + V_n$$

where $V_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[h_1, \dots, h_n, g_1^{\varphi^b}, \dots, g_{n-1}^{\varphi^b}]$. Let $\psi : E \rightarrow E$ be defined by sending g_n to $g_n^{\varphi^b} p^{nb} + V_n$. It is clear that ψ is a contraction map on the complete p -adic field E , then by Banach contraction mapping theorem there exists a unique $g_n \in E$ satisfying $\psi(g_n) = g_n$. This proves the existence and uniqueness of solution g . Now suppose $h \in \mathcal{R}_{c,E}$, we shall show $\text{ord}_p g_n \geq -\frac{n}{c}$ for all $n \geq 0$. This clearly holds for $n = 0$. By induction we may suppose it holds for all $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$. Recall, in (10) above, $V_n = -h_n + \sum_{t < n} g_t^{\varphi^b} a_t - \sum_{s+t=n, t < n} h_s g_t$ for some $a_t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. So we have $\text{ord}_p V_n \geq \min(\text{ord}_p h_n, \min_{t < n} \text{ord}_p g_t, \min_{s+t=n, t < n} \text{ord}_p h_s g_t) \geq -\frac{n}{c}$ by our inductive hypothesis. Thus $\text{ord}_p g_n = \text{ord}_p(g_n - g_n^{\varphi^b} p^{nb}) = \text{ord}_p V_n \geq -\frac{n}{c}$. This proves our claim that $g \in \mathcal{R}_{c,E}$. The converse direction follows from a similar only easier and we shall omit its proof here.

(ii) The first assertion follows from a direct verification. Since $q/p \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ we have $\lambda_b^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$. But it is clear that λ_b is a unit in $\mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ and hence $\lambda_b \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$.

(iii) These follows from parts (i) and (ii) where we proved the existence and uniqueness of g to $g^{\varphi^{2b}-1} = h^{\varphi^b-1}$, that is $g^{\varphi^b+1} = h$.

(iv) Since $E[[\pi]]$ is π -adically complete, it suffices to show there is a unique solution $h \in 1 + \pi E[[\pi]]$ such that

$$(11) \quad h^{\gamma^{-1}} - f \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

It is clear for $n = 1$ and suppose it holds for n . Write $h_n := (h \pmod{\pi^{n+1}}) = h_{n-1} + \pi^n H_n$ with $H_n \in E$ and $f_n := \sum_{\ell=0}^n F_\ell \pi^\ell = (f \pmod{\pi^{n+1}})$. By our inductive hypothesis, we should write $h_{n-1}^{\gamma^{-1}} - f_{n-1} = \pi^n R_{n-1}$ for some $R_{n-1} \in E[[\pi]]$. Then (11) is equivalent to

$$(12) \quad ((\gamma(\pi)/\pi)^n - 1)H_n \equiv F_n - R_{n-1} \pmod{\pi}.$$

But $\gamma(\pi)/\pi \equiv \chi(\gamma) \pmod{\pi}$, since γ is of infinite order we have $\chi(\gamma)^n - 1 \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore, (12) has a solution in E and this proves our claim. $\square$

As an application of the above lemma, the following key lemma will be used in Proposition 5.7, when we come to consider 2-dimensional representations.

Lemma 4.2. (i) *The following system of equations for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$*

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} s_{j-1} = t_j^\varphi, & t_{j-1} = q^{(1-\gamma)k_j} s_j^\varphi & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{A} \\ s_{j-1} = q^{(1-\gamma)k_j} s_j^\varphi, & t_{j-1} = t_j^\varphi & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution set $\mathcal{Q} := (s_0, \dots, s_{a-1}, t_0, \dots, t_{a-1})$ with each $s_j, t_j \in 1 + \pi \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$:

$$s_j = \lambda_b^{(1-\gamma)f_j(\varphi)}, \quad t_j = \lambda_b^{(1-\gamma)g_j(\varphi)}$$

for $b = a$ or $2a$ and for some polynomials $f_j(\varphi), g_j(\varphi)$ in variable φ and with coefficients equal to some algebraic combinations of $k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}$.

(ii) *We have $b = a$ if and only if $\#\mathcal{A}$ is even; and $b = 2a$ if and only if $\#\mathcal{A}$ is odd.*

(iii) *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the solution set of $(h_0, \dots, h_{a-1})$ in $E[[\pi]]^a$ to the following system of γ -equations for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$*

$$(14) \quad h_j^{\gamma^{-1}} = \frac{s_{j-1}}{t_{j-1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{S} \cap (1 + \pi E[[\pi]]) = (\lambda_b^{g_{j-1}(\varphi) - f_{j-1}(\varphi)})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}^a$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{S} = (E^* \lambda_b^{g_{j-1}(\varphi) - f_{j-1}(\varphi)})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proof. (i) and (ii). In the solution set $\mathcal{Q}$, if any two entries A, B satisfy an equation of the form $A^\varphi = uB$ for some unit $u \in 1 + \pi \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ of the form $q^{(1-\gamma)f(\varphi)}$ for some $f(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$, then we mark a directed path from A to B in $\mathcal{Q}$, denoted by $\boxed{A} \rightarrow \boxed{B}$. For any $j \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $\boxed{t_j} \rightarrow \boxed{s_{j-1}}$ and $\boxed{s_j} \rightarrow \boxed{t_{j-1}}$; For any $j \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\boxed{t_j} \rightarrow \boxed{t_{j-1}}$ and $\boxed{s_j} \rightarrow \boxed{s_{j-1}}$. This shows that the directed graph of the solution set $\mathcal{Q}$ consists exactly two disjoint a -cycles or exactly one $2a$ -cycle: The walk initiated from s_j will travel to s_{j-1} or t_{j-1} , and so on, this walk will return (for

the first time) to s_j or t_j after a steps. If it returns to s_j then it forms an a -cycle. By symmetry, its complement is an a -cycle containing t_j ; On the other hand, if it returns to t_j after a steps, then by symmetry again, this walk will return to s_j after a steps, hence it forms an $2a$ -cycle. Every directed path obtained in this manner initiated from s_j (or t_j) indicates an equation of the form $s_j^{\varphi^b-1} = q^{(\gamma-1)f_j(\varphi)}$ (or $t_j^{\varphi^b-1} = q^{(\gamma-1)g_j(\varphi)}$) for some polynomials $f_j(\varphi), g_j(\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$ whose coefficients are algebraic combinations of $k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}$. Note that $q^{\gamma-1} = (q/p)^{\gamma-1}$, we may apply Lemma 4.1 (ii) and obtain unique solution s_j and t_j both in $1 + \pi\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$. In particular, $s_j = \lambda_b^{(1-\gamma)f_j(\varphi)}$ (and $t_j = \lambda_b^{(1-\gamma)g_j(\varphi)}$ respectively) for the system $s_j^{\varphi^b-1} = (q/p)^{(\gamma-1)f_j(\varphi)}$ (and $t_j^{\varphi^b-1} = (q/p)^{(\gamma-1)g_j(\varphi)}$ respectively).

(iii). By Lemma 4.1(iv), there is a unique solution $(h_j)_j$ to (14); Using notations from the first part of this lemma it is easy to check that $h_j = \lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)}$. Note that $h_j \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ since $\lambda_b \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ and the ring $\mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ is closed under φ . $\square$

Below we prove some basic arithmetic of Banach contraction maps, which we shall use Proposition 4.9.

Lemma 4.3. 1) Let $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}'$ be two p -adic contraction maps on $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Let Z, Z' be their fixed points respectively. Suppose that for all $x \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ we have $\text{ord}_p(\mathfrak{G}(x) - \mathfrak{G}'(x)) \geq m \geq 0$ then we have $\text{ord}_p(Z - Z') \geq m$.

2) For any $M, M' \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$ we have $\text{ord}_p(M^{-1} - M'^{-1}) = \text{ord}_p(M - M')$.

3) Let $M_j, M'_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$ and $B_j \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p\left(\prod_j M_j B_j - \prod_j M'_j B_j\right) &\geq \min_j(\text{ord}_p(M_j - M'_j)), \\ \text{ord}_p\left(\prod_j B_j M_j^{-1} - \prod_j B_j M'^{-1}_j\right) &\geq \min_j(\text{ord}_p(M_j - M'_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. 1) By setting $x = Z$ our hypothesis gives $\text{ord}_p(Z - \mathfrak{G}'(Z)) \geq m$. Then $\text{ord}_p(\mathfrak{G}^n(Z) - \mathfrak{G}'^n(Z)) \geq \text{ord}_p(Z - \mathfrak{G}'(Z)) \geq m$ for all $n \geq 0$. So $\text{ord}_p(Z - \mathfrak{G}^n(Z)) \geq m$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $\text{ord}_p(Z - Z') \geq m$ as $Z' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{G}^n(Z)$.

2) One only has to observe that $M'^{-1} - M^{-1} = M'^{-1}(M - M')M^{-1}$ and then take p -adic order both sides.

3) Use induction argument on $j \geq 1$, the first inequality easily follows. The second follows by a similar argument and part (2). $\square$

4.2. Embedded Wach liftings from $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. The goal of this subsection is to prove Proposition 4.7, which will be the key ingredient in proving Theorems B and C in Section 4.3. We first take the liberty to recall without proof some fundamental notions, many of which can be found in [Wa96], [Co99], and [BE04]. Retaining notations from Section 3, let $D = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} D_j$ be a weakly admissible filtered φ -module in $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Let $L = \prod_j L_j$ be an embedded strongly divisible φ -lattice of D . Assume $k_{jd} = 0$ for all j and write $\bar{k}_1 := \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_{j1} = \text{MaxSlope}(\text{HP}^\sigma(V/K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E))$. Fix $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} = \text{Diag}(p^{k_{j1}}, \dots, p^{k_{jd}})$. Write $P_j = \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ for some $A_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$, with respect to basis adapted to filtration. Then $\text{Mat}(\varphi^a|_{L_j}) = P_{(j)} := \prod_{\ell=0}^{a-1} P_{\ell+j+1}$ with the subindex ℓ ranging from 0 to $a-1$ (necessarily in this order) in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ (since φ acts on E as identity). Recall from Theorem 3.5 that every $(A_j)_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ determines a

$V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}}^{\mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. Recall φ -action on $\mathbf{A}_K^+$ is given by $\varphi(\pi) = (1 + \pi)^p - 1$. Let $q := \varphi(\pi)/\pi = ((1 + \pi)^p - 1)/\pi$. Fix $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} = \text{Diag}(q^{k_{j1}}, \dots, q^{k_{jd}}) \in M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\pi])$.

Recall that an étale (φ, Γ) -module M over $\mathbf{A}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ is a $\mathbf{A}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ -module of finite rank, with continuous and commuting $\mathbf{A}_K$ -semilinear and $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linear φ - and Γ_K -actions such that $\varphi(M)$ generates M over $\mathbf{A}_K$. Fontaine's functor $\mathbf{D} : T \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(T)$ associates any de Rham $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linear representation T of G_K to an étale (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbf{A}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$. By inverting p , one also gets an equivalence of categories between the category of E -linear representations and the category of étale (φ, Γ) -modules over $\mathbf{B}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. Let $\mathbf{MF}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ be the category of filtered φ -modules over $\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$. Any object N in $\mathbf{MF}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ may be written as $N = \prod_j N_j$ according to the split $\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E = \prod_j \mathbf{B}_E^+$.

For any (D, Fil, φ) in $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}, \mathbf{k}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, we define an *embedded Wach module* $\mathbf{N}$ in $\mathbf{MF}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ attached to it (if such $\mathbf{N}$ exists) as a free $\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E$ -module. Write $\mathbf{N} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{N}_j$ where $\mathbf{N}_j$ is a free $\mathbf{B}_E^+$ -module of rank d such that the following (first) 6 conditions are satisfied

- (1) $\text{Fil}^i \mathbf{N}_j := \{x \in \mathbf{N}_j \mid \varphi(x) \in q^i \mathbf{N}_{j-1}\}$,
- (2) we have isomorphism $\theta_j : \mathbf{N}_j / \pi \mathbf{N}_j \rightarrow D_j$ as filtered E -modules. Write $\varphi_j := \varphi|_{\mathbf{N}_j}$ also for induced map on $\mathbf{N}_j / \pi \mathbf{N}_j$, we have $\varphi_j \theta_j = \theta_{j-1} \varphi_j$,
- (3) every $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ acts continuously on $\prod_j \mathbf{N}_j$, preserves $\mathbf{N}_j$ and $\varphi \gamma = \gamma \varphi$,
- (4) Γ_K acts trivially on $\mathbf{N}_j / \pi \mathbf{N}_j$ for every j ,
- (5) $\text{HP}(\varphi|_{\mathbf{N}_j / \mathbf{B}_E^+}) = \text{Diag}(q^{k_{j1}}, \dots, q^{k_{jd}})$,
- (6) The (φ, Γ) -module generated by $\mathbf{N}$ is étale.

(7*) $\mathbf{N}_j$ contains a $\mathbf{A}_E^+$ -lattice $\mathbf{N}(T)_j$ that is Γ_K -stable and $\varphi(\mathbf{N}(T)_j) \subseteq \mathbf{N}(T)_{j-1}$.

We shall call this process of constructing Wach modules from weakly admissible filtered φ -modules *embedded Wach lifting*. They form a subcategory $\mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ in $\mathbf{MF}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$. The $\mathbf{A}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ -module $\mathbf{N}(T) := \prod_{j=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{N}(T)_j$ in (7*) above is called *integral embedded Wach module*. It is a full lattice in $\mathbf{N}$. Below we shall prove two technical lemmas in preparation for Proposition 4.7.

Lemma 4.4. (i) Suppose $s \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ such that $q^{a\bar{k}_1} s^{-1} \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Set $f(s, b) = b - sb^{\varphi^a} s^{-\gamma}$. If $(b_{n-1} \bmod \pi^n) \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ such that $f(s, b_{n-1}) \in \pi^n M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ for some $n > \bar{k}_1$, then there exists a unique $b \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ with $b \equiv b_{n-1} \bmod \pi^n$ such that $f(s, b) = 0$.

(ii) Suppose $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$ and suppose $s = \widehat{P}_{(0)}$. Then the above statement holds for $n \geq \bar{k}_1$.

Proof. (i) Write $b_n = \sum_{i=0}^n B_i \pi^i$. By hypothesis, we write $f(s, b_{n-1}) = \pi^n R_n$ for some $R_n \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(s, b_n) &= f(s, b_{n-1}) + \pi^n (B_n - q^{an} s B_n^{\varphi^a} s^{-\gamma}) \\ &= \pi^n (B_n - q^{a(n-\bar{k}_1)} s B_n^{\varphi^a} q^{a\bar{k}_1} s^{-\gamma} + R_n). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $q \equiv p \bmod \pi$, and thus $p^{a\bar{k}_1} s^{-1}(0) \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. We claim the following equation has a unique solution $B_n \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$:

$$(15) \quad B_n = p^{a(n-\bar{k}_1)} s(0) B_n^{\varphi^a} p^{a\bar{k}_1} s(0)^{-1} - R_n(0).$$

For $n > \bar{k}_1$ the map sending any matrix B_n to the right-hand-side of the above equation is clearly a p -adic contraction map on $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$, hence our above claim

follows from the Banach mapping theorem. Since $q^{a\bar{k}_1}s^{-1} \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and $q^{\gamma-1} \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, we have $f(s, b_n)/\pi^n \in \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and therefore we have $R_{n+1} \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ as claimed in our induction. This finishes the proof of part (i).

(ii). Suppose $n = \bar{k}_1$ (only when $\bar{k}_1$ is an integer). Since $s(0) \equiv (\vec{0}^T | \star) \bmod p$ and $p^{a\bar{k}_1}s(0)^{-1} \equiv (\frac{\star}{0}) \bmod p$, one can derive via a calculation that the map $\mathfrak{I} : B_n \rightarrow B_n - s(0)B_n^{\varphi^a}p^{a\bar{k}_1}s(0)^{-1} + R_n(0)$ induces an invertible map on $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E)$. Hence $f(s, b) = 0$ has a unique solution for b modulo (p, π) . By Hensel's lemma we may lift it to a unique solution to $b \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O})E[[\pi]]$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $N = \prod_j N_j \in \mathbf{MF}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$ be given by $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{N_j}) = \hat{P}_j$ and $\text{Mat}(\gamma|_{N_j}) = \hat{G}_{\gamma,j}$. Then $\text{Mat}(\varphi^a|_{N_j}) = \hat{P}_{(j)} := \prod_{l=0}^{a-1} \varphi^l(\hat{P}_{l+j+1}) = \hat{P}_{j+1} \cdot \varphi(\hat{P}_{j+2}) \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi^{a-1}(\hat{P}_j)$ where subindex lies in $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) we have $\varphi\gamma = \gamma\varphi$ on $\mathbf{N}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$.
- (2) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \hat{P}_0 \\ \hat{P}_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \hat{P}_{a-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{\gamma,1}^{\varphi} & & 0 \\ & \hat{G}_{\gamma,2}^{\varphi} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \hat{G}_{\gamma,0}^{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{\gamma,a-1} & & 0 \\ & \hat{G}_{\gamma,0} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \hat{G}_{\gamma,a-2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \hat{P}_0^{\gamma} \\ \hat{P}_1^{\gamma} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \hat{P}_{a-1}^{\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\prod_{j=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{N}_j$.

- (3) $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}\hat{P}_j^{\gamma} = \hat{P}_j\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}^{\varphi}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.
- (4) Replace an equation in Part (3) $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}\hat{P}_j^{\gamma} = \hat{P}_j\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}^{\varphi}$ by the following equation

$$(16) \quad \hat{G}_{\gamma,j}\hat{P}_{(j)}^{\gamma} = \hat{P}_{(j)}\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}^{\varphi^a}$$

Proof. We will only discuss the proof of (4) $\implies$ (3) as the rest are straightforward computations. Suppose $\hat{P}_j$'s are given for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ be a solution to the equation (16) for a particular j , then we can recover all $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ by the equations in Part (3). These $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}$'s clearly are solutions to the system. $\square$

Remark 4.6. We can consider the above embedded Wach lifting $\Upsilon : \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(\mathbf{B}_K^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)$, via the bijection of Theorem 3.5, as an explicit constructing map $\Upsilon : \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a \longrightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])^a$ defined by $\Upsilon((A_j)_j) = (\hat{A}_j)_j$ such that the matrices $\hat{A}_j \in A_j + \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ satisfy certain commuting property with $\hat{G}_j$'s (as in the above lemma).

Proposition 4.7. *Let $n > \bar{k}_1$ (and $n \geq \bar{k}_1$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{j,d} = 0$). Suppose $\hat{A}_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ lifts given A_j and suppose $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j,n-1}$ lies in $\text{Id} + \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ such that $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j-1,n-1} - \hat{P}_j\hat{G}_{\gamma,j,n-1}^{\varphi}\hat{P}_j^{-\gamma} \in \pi^n M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$, then*

there exist unique $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} \equiv \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,n-1} \bmod \pi^n$ in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ we have $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \widehat{P}_j^\gamma = \widehat{P}_j \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.4 to $s = \widehat{P}_{(0)}$ we have a unique solution $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,0} \equiv \widehat{G}_{\gamma,0,n-1} \bmod \pi^n$ as desired. We obtain the rest $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ by the simple formula $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} = \widehat{P}_j \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi \widehat{P}_j^{-\gamma}$ where $\widehat{P}_j = \widehat{A}_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}$. By Lemma 4.5, especially the equivalence between part (3) and part (4), these $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ are precisely the unique solutions to the given system. $\square$

Remark 4.8. If in the hypotheses of Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.7 the ring $\mathcal{O}_E$ is replaced by any unit disc in any p -adically complete space, for instance $\mathcal{O}_E[[\vec{X}]]$, an analogous statement still holds. We shall use this more general version of the proposition in the proof of Proposition 5.8.

4.3. Continuity of embedded Wach modules. Pick $m = [\bar{k}_1] + 1$ (and $m = [\bar{k}_1]$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$). Given $A_j \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$, suppose we may obtain $\widehat{A}_j \equiv A_j \bmod \pi$ and $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1}$ satisfy the hypothesis $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1,m-1} - \widehat{P}_j \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1}^\varphi \widehat{P}_j^{-\gamma} \in \pi^m M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$, then by Proposition 4.7 we have a unique $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} \in 1 + \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ lifting $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1}$ such that the system in Lemma 4.5(3) is satisfied. This Wach lifting process, for any given A_j , yields a map $\mathfrak{W} : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ given by $\gamma \mapsto (\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let notation be as above paragraph. The map $\mathfrak{W}$ defined above is continuous (p -adically).*

Proof. Fix $A_j \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$. In the above defined Wach lifting process it suffices to show for a fixed $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ the map $(\widehat{A}_j, \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1}) \mapsto \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ is continuous in the sense that for $A'_j \in \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$ we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} - \widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j}) \geq \min(\mathrm{ord}_p(\widehat{A}_j - \widehat{A}'_j), \mathrm{ord}_p(\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1} - \widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j,m-1})).$$

Consider the contraction map on $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ for given A_j defined by $\mathfrak{G} : B_m \mapsto p^{a(m-\bar{k}_1)} P_{(0)} B_m^\varphi p^{a\bar{k}_1} P_{(0)}^{-1} - R_m(0)$ as seen in the proof of Lemma 4.4 (in particular, see (15)). Let $\mathfrak{G}'$ denote the map corresponding to given A'_j . Recall $P_{(0)} = \prod_j A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ (how subindex j ranges does not affect the proof below so we do not deliberate), then $P'_{(0)} = \prod_j A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$. We write $p^{a\bar{k}_1} P_{(0)}^{-1} = \prod_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^* A_j^{-1}$ where $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^* = p^{k_{j1}} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^{-1}$ is diagonal in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. We observe easily that $\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathfrak{G}(B_m) - \mathfrak{G}'(B_m))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= a(m - \bar{k}_1) + \mathrm{ord}_p(P_{(0)} B_m^\varphi p^{a\bar{k}_1} P_{(0)}^{-1} - P'_{(0)} B_m^\varphi p^{a\bar{k}_1} P'_{(0)}^{-1}) \\ &\geq \min(\mathrm{ord}_p(\prod_j A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j} - \prod_j A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}), \mathrm{ord}_p(\prod_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^* A_j^{-1} - \prod_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}^* A'^{-1}_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.3 (3) here we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(\mathfrak{G}(B_m) - \mathfrak{G}'(B_m)) \geq \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{ord}_p(A_j - A'_j))$$

for all B_m in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Notice that π^m -coefficients of $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ is the fixed point of the map $\mathfrak{G}$ above. Applying Lemma 4.3(1) we have

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} - \widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j}) \geq \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{ord}_p(A_j - A'_j)) \geq \min_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} (\mathrm{ord}_p(\widehat{A}_j - \widehat{A}'_j)).$$

This finishes our proof. $\square$

Recall that $\mathcal{R}_{c,E}$ is the ring of power series $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a_s \pi^s$ in $E[[\pi]]$ with $\text{ord}_p a_s \geq -\frac{s}{c}$. Let χ be the cyclotomic character on Γ_K . For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ let $\beta_{\gamma,n} := \prod_{i=1}^n (\chi(\gamma)^i - 1)$ for any $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ be of infinite order. For any given diagonal matrix $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} \in \text{Id} + \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and $\text{ord}_p A_j = 0$, there is unique $\widehat{A}_j \equiv A_j \pmod{\pi}$ in $M_{d \times d}(E[[\pi]])$ with π^n -coefficients in $\beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_E$ such that $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \widehat{P}_j^\gamma = \widehat{P}_j \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ and for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, if $A_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$ then there exists a geometric generator γ of Γ_K such that we may have lifting $\widehat{A}_j \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{R}_{(p-1)^2/p,E})$ of A_j .*

Proof. Write $s_{j,n} := \sum_{r=0}^n S_{j,r} \pi^r$ in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Let f be defined as

$$f(s_j) = \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} s_j^\gamma - s_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}^{-\gamma}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. We claim that for every $n \geq 0$ there exists a unique $S_{j,n}$ in $\beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ such that $f(s_{j,n}) \in \pi^{n+1} \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Notice that for $s_{j,0} = A_j$ we have $f(s_{j,0}) \in \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ by hypothesis. By induction we may write $f(s_{j,n-1}) = -\pi^n R_{j,n-1}$ with $R_{j,n-1} \in \beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. As $s_{j,n} = s_{j,n-1} + S_{j,n} \pi^n$, we have

$$f(s_{j,n})/\pi^n = \pi^{(\gamma-1)n} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} S_{j,n} - S_{j,n} \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}^{-\gamma} - R_{j,n-1}.$$

Since $(\chi(\gamma)^n - 1) S_{j,n} \equiv R_{j,n-1}(0) \pmod{\pi}$ has a unique solution $S_{j,n}$ in $(\chi(\gamma)^n - 1)^{-1} \beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ and hence $S_{j,n} \in \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Since $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ are diagonal and that $q^{\gamma-1} \in 1 + \pi \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, we have $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}^{-\gamma}$ lying in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Hence $f(s_{j,n})/\pi^n \in \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and therefore $R_{j,n} = f(s_{j,n})/\pi^{n+1}$ lies in $\beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. This proves our claim and hence we have a unique solution $\widehat{A}_j := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{j,n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{j,n} \pi^n$ as the π -adic limit.

From the above, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$ we have $\text{ord}_p S_{j,n} \geq -\text{ord}_p \beta_{\gamma,n}$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ of infinite order. Note that $\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_K} \text{ord}_p \beta_{\gamma,n} \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{(p-1)^2/p} \rfloor$ for $p \neq 2$ (see [BE04, IV.I]). We may choose a suitable generator $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ (of infinite order) such that $\text{ord}_p S_{j,n} \geq -\text{ord}_p \beta_{\gamma,n} \geq -\lfloor \frac{n}{(p-1)^2/p} \rfloor$ for all $n \geq 1$. This proves that $\widehat{A}_j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{j,n} \pi^n$ lies in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{R}_{\frac{(p-1)^2}{p},E})$. By the continuity of the Wach lifting as shown in Proposition 4.9, our statement follows. $\square$

Below in Theorem 4.12 we should prove a result generalizing [BE04, Proposition IV.1.3]. Namely, we will show that if $N(T)$ is an integral embedded Wach module defined by $(\widehat{P}_j, \widehat{G}_j)$ with $\widehat{P}_j \equiv P_j \pmod{\pi}$ then for any P'_j in a small p -adic neighborhood of P_j we can find an embedded integral Wach module $N(T')$ that is close to $N(T)$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\widehat{G} \in \text{Id} + \pi M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Then there exists a unique $M \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{R}_{(p-1)^2/p,E}) \cap \text{Id} + \pi M_{d \times d}(E[[\pi]])$ such that $\widehat{G} M^\gamma = M \widehat{G}$.*

Proof. This proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.10 above. Write $f(M) = \widehat{G} M^\gamma - M \widehat{G}$. For any $n \geq 0$, write $M_{(n)} = M_0 + M_1 \pi + \dots + M_n \pi^n$. We should show by induction on $n \geq 0$ that there exists $M_n \in \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1} M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ such that

$f(M_{(n)}) \in \pi^{n+1}\beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1}M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. This is clear for $n = 0$. If it holds for $n - 1$, then $f(M_{(n-1)}) = \pi^n R_{(n-1)}$ for some $R_{(n-1)} \in \beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1}M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(M_{(n)})/\pi^n &= \beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1}R_{(n-1)} + \pi^{n(\gamma-1)}\widehat{G}M_n - M_n\widehat{G} \\ &\equiv \beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1}R_{(n-1)}(0) + (\chi(\gamma)^n - 1)M_n \bmod \pi. \end{aligned}$$

There is a unique solution to the last congruence $M_n = (\chi(\gamma)^n - 1)^{-1}\beta_{\gamma,n-1}^{-1}R_{(n-1)}(0)$ lies in $\beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1}M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$ as we claimed. On the other hand, we observe that $f(M_{(n)})/\pi^n \in \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1}M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and hence $R_{(n)} \in \beta_{\gamma,n}^{-1}M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. This finishes the proof of our induction. By a similar argument as in Proposition 4.10 we see that $M \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{R}_{(p-1)^2/p,E})$. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *Write $\epsilon = \lfloor (m-1)p/(p-1)^2 \rfloor$ where $m = \lfloor \overline{k}_1 \rfloor + 1$ (or $m = \lfloor \overline{k}_1 \rfloor$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$). Let $D \in \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)$ with $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{D_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ for some $A_j \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Suppose D can be lifted to an integral embedded Wach module $N(T)$. Then any $D' \in \mathbf{MF}^{\text{ad}}(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)$ with $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{D'_j}) = A'_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ and with $\text{ord}_p(A'_j - A_j) \geq \epsilon + i$ can be lifted to an integral embedded Wach module $N(T')$ such that*

$$N(T') \equiv N(T) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i.$$

Proof. Let $(\widehat{A}_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}, \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j})$ be the matrices of (φ, γ) that defines the integral embedded Wach module $N(T)$. Since $A'_j \equiv A_j \bmod p^n$ we may write $A'_j = (\text{Id} + p^n M_j)A_j$ for some matrix $M_j \in M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E)$. By Lemma 4.11 above, there exists (a unique) $\widehat{M}_j \equiv M_j$ and $\widehat{M}_j \in M_{d \times d}(p^C \mathcal{R}_{(p-1)^2/p,E})$ such that $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \widehat{M}_j^\gamma = \widehat{M}_j \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}$ (where $C = \text{ord}_p M_j$). Write $\widehat{M}_{j,m-1} := (p^\epsilon \widehat{M}_j \bmod \pi^m)$, it lies in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[\pi])$ and we have

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1}^\gamma - \widehat{M}_{j,m-1} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \in \pi^m M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]).$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}(\text{Id} + p^{n-\epsilon} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1})^\gamma - (\text{Id} + p^{n-\epsilon} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1}) \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} \in \pi^m M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]).$$

Because $\text{Id} + p^{n-\epsilon} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1}$ lies in $\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ we may multiply its inverse on the right-hand-side of the above equation and get

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} - \widehat{A}'_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} (\widehat{A}'_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j})^{-\gamma} \\ &= \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} - (\text{Id} + p^{n-\epsilon} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1}) \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} (\text{Id} + p^{n-\epsilon} \widehat{M}_{j,m-1})^{-\gamma} \\ &\in \pi^m M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]). \end{aligned}$$

Then we shall apply Proposition 4.7 to find the existence of integral matrix $\widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j}$ that will make $\widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j-1} (\widehat{A}'_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j})^\gamma = \widehat{A}'_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j} (\widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j})^\gamma$. Hence $(\widehat{A}'_j \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathbf{k}_j}, \widehat{G}'_{\gamma,j})$ defines an integral embedded Wach module $N(T')$. If we write $n = \epsilon + i$ with $i > 0$. Then we have $\widehat{A}'_j - \widehat{A}_j \in p^i M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and hence $\widehat{A}'_j \equiv \widehat{A}_j \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i$ in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Therefore $N(T') \equiv N(T) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i$. $\square$

Let $|\cdot|_p$ be the metric defined in Remark 3.6.

Corollary 4.13 (Theorem C). *Write $\epsilon = \lfloor (m-1)p/(p-1)^2 \rfloor$ where $m = \lfloor \overline{k}_1 \rfloor + 1$ (or $m = \lfloor \overline{k}_1 \rfloor$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$). Let $V, V' \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\mathbf{k}}(G_K)$ with Galois stable lattices T, T' , respectively. If $\mathbf{N}(T)$ exists, and if $\text{dist}(V, V') \leq p^{-(i+\epsilon)}$ then $\mathbf{N}(T')$ exists and $\mathbf{N}(T') \equiv \mathbf{N}(T) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.12, it remains to show that $\mathbf{N}(T) \equiv \mathbf{N}(T') \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_E^i}$. Write A, A' in $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$ for representing V, V' respectively according to Theorem A'. By our hypothesis and by Remark 3.6, we have $\mathrm{ord}_p(A_j - A'_j) \geq i + \epsilon$ for every j . By applying Theorem 4.12, we conclude that $\mathbf{N}(T) \equiv \mathbf{N}(T') \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_E^i}$. $\square$

Below we use our result above to show that when $\bar{k}_1 < p - 1$ we can lift every strongly divisible lattice to a lattice in an étale (φ, Γ) -module of Fontaine. (When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ this is known to Fontaine-Laffaille.) In fact, in this case there is an integral Wach lifting map $\Upsilon : \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\mathbf{k}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])^a$ (see Remark 4.6).

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\bar{k}_1 < p - 1$ (and $\bar{k}_1 \leq p - 1$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$). Then every embedded strongly divisible lattices in a weakly admissible filtered φ -module $D = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$ of $\mathrm{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbf{k}$ can be lifted to an embedded integral Wach module.*

Proof. Choose a (geometric) generator $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ as in Proposition 4.10. Since for $1 \leq n \leq p - 2$ we have $\chi(\gamma)^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have $\mathrm{ord}_p \beta_{\gamma,n} = 0$ (where $\beta_{\gamma,n}$ is as defined in Proposition 4.10). So $(\hat{A}_j \pmod{\pi^{p-1}})$ in Proposition 4.10 lies in $M_{d \times d}(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$. Since $\bar{k}_1 < p - 1$ ($\bar{k}_1 \leq p - 1$ if $k_{j,d-1} > 0$) we may apply Proposition 4.7 to $(\hat{A}_j \pmod{\pi^{p-1}})$ above and $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j,p} = \mathrm{Id}$, and obtain the desired integral matrices $\hat{P}_j$ and $\hat{G}_{\gamma,j}$. Since Γ_K is geometrically generated by γ and the Wach lifting map $\mathfrak{W}$ is continuous by Proposition 4.9, these matrices defines an embedded integral Wach module. $\square$

Theorem 4.15 (Theorem B). *Let $\bar{k}_1 < p - 1$ (and $\bar{k}_1 \leq p - 1$ if $k_{j1} \geq \dots \geq k_{j,d-1} > k_{jd} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$). For any Galois stable lattice T in $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(T)$ is a strongly divisible lattice in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$. Conversely, every strongly divisible lattice L in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$ is for the form $L = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(T)$ for some Galois stable lattice T .*

Proof. For the first statement we shall show that $L := \mathbf{N}(T^*)/\pi\mathbf{N}(T^*)$ is strongly divisible over $\mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$. By our construction above and the hypothesis on weight, we know that the filtration induced on L from $\mathbf{N}(V^*)$ and that $\mathbf{N}(T^*)$ are identical. Split $L \cong \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} L_j$, we have $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{L_j}) = A_j \Delta_{\mathbf{k}_j}$ and hence L is strongly divisible by Proposition 3.3.

Conversely, by Theorem 4.14 and our hypothesis, every strongly divisible lattice L of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V)$ is lifted to an integral Wach module $\mathbf{N}(T^*)$ for some Galois stable lattice T^* of V . Write T for the dual of T^* . Notice that in this lifting, the filtration on $\mathbf{N}(T^*)/\pi\mathbf{N}(T^*)$ coincides with that induced from $\mathbf{N}(V^*)/\pi\mathbf{N}(V^*)$. Then by [BE04, Theorem III.13] and the discussion at the beginning of Section 4.2, we have $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(T) \cong \mathbf{N}(T^*)/\pi\mathbf{N}(T^*) \cong L$. This proves Theorem B. $\square$

5. MOD p REDUCTION OF CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATION IN DIMENSIONAL 2 CASES

5.1. Classification of crystalline mod p reductions. Let $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi = ((1 + \pi)^p - 1)/\pi = \pi^{p-1} + \dots + p$. For any $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{cris}/E}(G_K)$ we shall denote the φ -action on $D := \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(V) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} D_j$ by $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi) = (\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{D_0}), \dots, \mathrm{Mat}(\varphi|_{D_{a-1}}))$. Let $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$, and let $\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}}$ be the crystalline character of G_K whose $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^*(\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}})$ has $\mathrm{Mat}(\varphi) = (p^{k_0}, \dots, p^{k_{a-1}})$, same as that given in Remark 3.8(2).

Let ε_a be the crystalline character in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{(1)}(G_K)$ such that $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(\varepsilon_a)$ has $\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (p, 1, \dots, 1)$. Note that ε_1 is the cyclotomic character of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_a^{\sigma^j} = \varepsilon_1$. Then we have $\varepsilon_a \equiv \omega_a \pmod{p}$ where ω_a is a fundamental character of I_K (extends to G_K). Notice that $\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}} = \prod_{j=0}^{a-1} \varepsilon_a^{k_j \sigma^j}$. We first prepare some simple fact about crystalline characters and their reduction.

Proposition 5.1. *Every crystalline character V of G_K of embedded Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$ is of the form $\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}} \otimes \eta$ for an unramified character η . We have*

$$\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}} \equiv \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_E}.$$

The étale (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}})$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ is determined uniquely by

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (q^{k_0}, \dots, q^{k_{a-1}}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]^a.$$

The étale (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{D}(\omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$ over $\mathbb{F}_p[[\pi]]$ is determined uniquely by:

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (\pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}}) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\pi]]^a.$$

Proof. By Remark 3.8(2) we know that $V \cong \varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}} \otimes \eta$ for some unramified character η . By Wach theory in Section 4.2, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}})$ has $\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (q^{k_0}, \dots, q^{k_{a-1}})$. Write $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} D_j$, then by Lemmas 4.5 and 4.1(ii) we found that there are unique solution to $\text{Mat}(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. Take mod p reduction on $\mathbf{D}(\varepsilon_{a,\vec{k}})$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, we obtain the desired (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{F}_p[[\pi]]$, our assertion follows by Fontaine's theory of (φ, Γ) -modules. $\square$

Classification of 2-dim irreducible crystalline representation in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$ was done by Breuil, see [Br00, Lecture 5] (see also [Br02, Section 3.1] and [BM02, Section 3.1]). Our goal here is generalizing some of his work to the category $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$. We formulate an irreducibility criterion in the following theorem, from which Theorem D follows immediately (i.e., the equivalence between (i) and (iv)). Recall the convention that $\sum_{j \in \emptyset} k_j = 0$ for empty set $\emptyset$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$. Let $V \in \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$ be of dimension 2, and let $\Theta^{-1}(V) = A = (A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)^a$. Then the followings are equivalent*

- (i) V is reducible, containing some crystalline character $\tilde{\psi}_1$.
- (ii) There exist $w_j \in \mathcal{O}_E^*$ or $p^{k_j} \mathcal{O}_E^*$, and $\begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix} \neq \vec{0}$ in $\mathcal{O}_E$ such that

$$(17) \quad A_j \Delta_{k_j} \begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix} = w_j \begin{pmatrix} x_{j-1} \\ y_{j-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. The character $\tilde{\psi}_1$ has embedded $\text{HP}_{\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{\psi}_1)_j = k_j$ if $w_j \in p^{k_j} \mathcal{O}_E^*$ and $= 0$ if $w_j \in \mathcal{O}_E^*$.

- (iii) $P_{(j),A} = \text{Mat}(\varphi^a)$ for one (equivalently all) j have an eigenvalue whose p -adic valuation equal to $\sum_{j \in J} k_j$ for some subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.
- (iv) The p -adic Newton polygon of $P_{(j),A}$ (for one and all j) has a slope equal to $\sum_{j \in J} k_j$ for some subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

(v) Let $t = \text{tr}(P_{(j),A}) = \text{tr}(\text{Mat}(\varphi^a))$, then either

$$\text{ord}_p t > \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j = \sum_{j \in J} k_j$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j \geq \text{ord}_p t = \sum_{j \in J} k_j$$

for some subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\iff$ (ii) follows from the same equivalence in Proposition 3.11. The equivalence (iii) $\iff$ (iv) $\iff$ (v) follows from basic p -adic analysis and theory of p -adic Newton polygon, since roots of the characteristic polynomial of $P_{(j),A}$ are precisely the eigenvalue. The slopes of the p -adic Newton polygon of $P_{(j),A}$ are precisely the p -adic valuation of the eigenvalues.

We show below that (ii) $\iff$ (iii). Notice that these $P_{(j),A}$ are all conjugate to each other in $M_{2 \times 2}(E)$ and hence have the same eigenvalue (and characteristic polynomial), one may prove the statement for one and hence all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ in (iii). Suppose (ii) holds. Write $w = w_0 w_1 \cdots w_{a-1}$, we have $\text{ord}_p w = \sum_{j \in J} k_j$ for some subset J . By applying the iteration in (ii), there are nonzero solution $\begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix}$ to

$$(18) \quad P_{(j),A} \begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix} = w \begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix}$$

which shows that (iii) holds. Conversely, suppose (iii) holds. That is $P_{(j),A}$ has an eigenvalue w with p -adic valuation equal to $\sum_J k_j$ for some subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have a nonzero solution for (18) for one j . Let the rest of the vectors $\begin{pmatrix} x_j \\ y_j \end{pmatrix}$ over E be computed via the iteration in (17), and all can be chosen over $\mathcal{O}_E$ without problem. This proves (ii). $\square$

Remark 5.3. Our irreducibility criterion above in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}(G_K)$ is very similar to the existing one for $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$, compare this with Remark 2.9. For $a = 1$ we recover that V is irreducible if and only if Newton polygon has only positive slopes.

By Theorem 5.2, it is easy to see that, if V is reducible then

$$V \cong \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{j \in J} \varepsilon_a^{k_j \sigma^j} & \star \\ 0 & \prod_{j \notin J} \varepsilon_a^{k_j \sigma^j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$$

for some unramified character η and some subset J of $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. Compare this to Remark 3.8(4).

Let V be a 2-dimensional E -linear crystalline representation in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$ with $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$. Let $\rho := \bar{V}$ be the semisimplification of reduction mod p of V . The following theorem generalizes Breuil's classification [Br02, Proposition 6.1.2] for the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, and proves Theorem E.

Theorem 5.4 (Theorem E). *(i) Let $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$ for all j . If ρ is reducible, then*

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K .

(ii) Let $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$ for all j and $\vec{k} \neq 0$. If ρ is irreducible, then

$$\rho \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j}) \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$; i.e.,

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}.$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K . If $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$ then $\rho \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{p^a-1}) \otimes \bar{\eta}$ for some character.

Proof. (i) Since ρ is reducible, by Breuil's [Br07, Corollary 2.9] we have that

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} r_j p^j} & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K and some $0 \leq r_j \leq p-1$ and not all equal to $p-1$. Thus we have upon a twist by a character

$$(19) \quad \det(\rho) \cong \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} r_j p^j}.$$

On the other hand, let T be a Galois stable lattice in V . Write $(L = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} L_j, \varphi) := \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(T)$ for a strongly divisible lattice in $D = \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V)$. With respect to basis adapted to filtration, we have $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_L) = (A_0 \Delta_0, \dots, A_{a-1} \Delta_{a-1})$ where $A_j \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ and $\Delta_j = \text{Diag}(p^{k_j}, 1)$. By Theorem 4.14, we may lift T to integral Wach module N with $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_N) = (\hat{A}_0 \hat{\Delta}_0, \dots, \hat{A}_{a-1} \hat{\Delta}_{a-1})$ where $\hat{A}_j \equiv A_j \pmod{\pi}$ lies in $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]])$ and $\hat{\Delta}_j = \text{Diag}(q^{k_j}, 1)$ where $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$. Notice that $q \equiv \pi^{p-1} \pmod{p}$, we have the étale (φ, Γ) -module $\mathbf{N}(\bar{V}) := \mathbf{N}(T/\mathfrak{m}_E T) \cong N/\mathfrak{m}_E N$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ given by

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\bar{N}}) = \left(\bar{A}_0 \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{(p-1)k_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \bar{A}_{a-1} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

that commutes with Γ_K -action. Write $u_j := \det(\bar{A}_j) = (\det(\hat{A}_j) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_E})$ for every j , it is clear that $u_j \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p[[\pi]]^*$. Thus $\mathbf{D}(\det(\rho))$ has

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi) = \det(\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\bar{N}})) = (u_0 \pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, u_{a-1} \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}}).$$

Recall from Proposition 5.1 that $\mathbf{D}(\omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$ has $\text{Mat}(\varphi) = (\pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}})$, we notice that upon an unramified twist $\det(\rho) \cong \omega_a^{\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} k_j p^j}$. Comparing this with (19), since we have $\omega_a^h = 1$ if and only if $(p^a - 1)|h$, we have $k_j = r_i$ if $\vec{k} \neq (p-1, \dots, p-1)$. If $\vec{k} = (p-1, \dots, p-1)$ we have $\det(\rho) \cong \text{Id}$ and hence our assertion is not affected if we set $r_j = p-1 = k_j$ for every j . This finishes our proof of part (i).

(ii) This part follows a similar argument as part (i). Since ρ is irreducible, by Breuil's [Br07, Corollary 2.9] we have that

$$\rho|_{I_K} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} r_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} r_j p^j} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \bar{\eta}$$

for some character $\bar{\eta}$ that extends to G_K where $1 \leq r_0 \leq p$, $0 \leq r_j \leq p-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq a-1$, and $\vec{r} \neq (p, p-1, \dots, p-1)$. For the case $r_0 = p$ consider the p -adic round-up, we may assume from now on that $0 \leq r_j \leq p-1$ for all j and $r_0 \neq 0$. Upon a twist we have

$$(20) \quad \det(\rho) \cong \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} r_j p^j}.$$

The rest of the argument in part (i) carries over here without any problem since the weight $\vec{k}$ satisfies the same hypothesis of Theorem 4.14, hence we may lift V , then reduce mod P and obtain that $\det(\rho) \cong \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \otimes \bar{\eta}$ for some character $\bar{\eta}$. Now we compare this with (20): If $\vec{k} \neq \vec{0}$, then we have that $k_j = r_j$ for all j . If $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$, then we have $r_j = p-1$ for all j . In this case $\rho \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{p^a-1}) \otimes \bar{\eta}$. $\square$

5.2. Some analytic families in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$. The purpose of this subsection is to construct some explicit families of crystalline representations of dimension 2. This allows us to have some crystalline deformation of prescribed reduction type. We are able to address on the boundary weight $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$ in these explicit constructions. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{C}_p$, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+ = \lim_{x \rightarrow xp} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ be the ring defined by Fontaine [Fo88a], and let $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+[1/\pi]$.

We write $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(\cdot)$ for the category of E -linear crystalline representations of G_K with embedded Hodge polygon $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$. Let $\bar{k}_1 := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j/a$. We define a 2-dimensional crystalline representations $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$. Write $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ as a partition. Let $\vec{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{a-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_E^a$ and let $\vec{u} = (u_j)_{j \in \mathcal{B}}$ with $u_j \in \mathcal{O}_E^*$. Let $A := (A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$A_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & v_j \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{A} \text{ or} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v_j & u_j \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

Recall the bijection $\Theta : \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)^a / \sim_{\vec{k}} \longrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$ per Theorem 3.5. Let $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}} := \Theta(A)$ in $\text{Rep}_{\text{cris}/E}^{\vec{k}}(G_K)$.

We have a remark on the parameters $\vec{v}$ and $\vec{u}$ in $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$. If we define an equivalence $\sim_{\vec{k}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_E^a$, denoted by $\vec{v} \sim_{\vec{k}} \vec{v}' \in \mathcal{O}_E^a$, by $v_j = w^{(-1)^j} v'_j$ for some $w \in \mathcal{O}_E^*$ when a is even; or $\vec{v} = \vec{v}'$ when a is odd, then we have the following property:

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{A}$ and let $k_j > 0$ for every j . Then $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}} \cong V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}'}$ if and only if $\vec{v} \sim_{\vec{k}} \vec{v}'$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, we have $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}} \cong V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}'}$ if and only if $A \sim_{\vec{k}} A'$ where $A' = (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & v'_j \end{pmatrix})_j$. Namely, there is $C_j = \begin{pmatrix} c_{j1} & c_{j3} \\ 0 & c_{j2} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ such that $C_{j-1}A_j = A'_jC_j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $C_j = \text{Diag}(c_{j1}, c_{j2})$ and $v_j = \frac{c_{j2}}{c_{j-1,2}} v'_j$, where $c_{j2} = c_{j-1,1}$ and $c_{j1} = c_{j-1,2}$. Write $w = c_{02}/c_{a-1,2}$, then we get $v_j = w^{(-1)^j} v'_j$ for every j . When a is odd this forces $w = 1$ and hence our proposition follows. $\square$

Before our construction of Wach modules, we retain notations from Lemma 4.2. Let $b = a$ if $\#\mathcal{A}$ is even or $b = 2a$ if $\#\mathcal{A}$ is odd. If $k_j = 0$ let $\delta_j = 0$; if $k_j > 0$ let

$$(21) \quad \delta_j := -\text{ord}_p(\lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \bmod \pi^{\lceil \bar{k}_1 \rceil}).$$

We shall need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 5.11.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^a$ and let $\bar{k}_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j/a$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ then we have $0 \leq \delta_j \leq \frac{\lceil \bar{k}_1 \rceil - 1}{p-1}$. If $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$ then $\delta_j = 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we know $\lambda_b \in \mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ for any b and hence by the very definition we have $0 \leq \delta_j \leq \frac{\lceil \bar{k}_1 \rceil - 1}{p-1}$.

It remains to show that for $k_0 = \dots = k_{a-1} = p$, we have $\delta_j = 0$ for all j . By Lemma 4.2 and its proof, $f_j(\varphi), g_j(\varphi) \in p\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]$ for all j since they are algebraic combinations of $k_0, \dots, k_{a-1}$. Thus $\lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \in \lambda_b^{p\mathbb{Z}[\varphi]}$ and hence there exists $C := c_0 + c_1\pi + \dots + c_{p-2}\pi^{p-2} + p^{-1}c_{p-1}\pi^{p-1}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{p-1, \mathbb{Q}_p}$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $(\lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \bmod \pi^p) = (C^p \bmod \pi^p)$, which is clearly in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\pi]$ by examining its binomial expansion. This shows $\delta_j = -\text{ord}_p(\lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \bmod \pi^p) = 0$ by definition. $\square$

Let

$$(22) \quad z_j := (p^{\delta_j} \lambda_b^{(g_{j-1}-f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \bmod \pi^{\lceil \bar{k}_1 \rceil}),$$

notice that $z_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\pi]$ by the very definition of δ_j above.

Let $u_j \in \mathcal{O}_E^*$. Write X_j for a variable on which γ and φ act trivially, we define for $k_j > 0$

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_j(X_j) &:= \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q^{k_j} & z_j X_j \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{A} \\ \begin{pmatrix} q^{k_j} & 0 \\ q^{k_j} z_j X_j & u_j \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{B}; \end{cases} \\ \widehat{G}_{\gamma, j} &:= \begin{pmatrix} s_j & 0 \\ 0 & t_j \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

with $s_j, t_j \in 1 + \pi\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$, $z_j \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]]$ be as given in Lemma 4.2 and above. If $k_j = 0$ then set $\widehat{P}_j(X_j) := \widehat{P}_j(0)$ and the same $\widehat{G}_{\gamma, j}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Write $m = \lceil \bar{k}_1 \rceil$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ we have for every j*

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma, j-1} - \widehat{P}_j(X_j) \widehat{G}_{\gamma, j}^\varphi \widehat{P}_j(X_j)^{-\gamma} \in \pi^m M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, X_j]]).$$

Moreover, the left-hand-side vanishes for $k_j = 0$.

Proof. Let $j \in \mathcal{A}$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma, j-1} \widehat{P}_j(X_j)^\gamma - \widehat{P}_j(X_j) \widehat{G}_{\gamma, j}^\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_j^\varphi - s_{j-1} \\ q^{k_j} (t_{j-1} q^{(\gamma-1)k_j} - s_j^\varphi) & (t_{j-1} z_j^\gamma - t_j^\varphi z_j) X_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the two off-diagonal entries vanish if and only if (13) holds, which has a unique solution for $(s_j, t_j)_j$. The diagonal entry vanishes if and only if (14) holds

by the very definition of z_j in (22), whose solution set is $\mathcal{S}$ as defined in Lemma 4.2. We have

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^\gamma - \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^{m_\star} \end{pmatrix} \in \pi^m M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, X_j]]).$$

Following an identical argument as that in the proof of [BLZ04, Proposition 3.1.3] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} - \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^{-\gamma} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^{m_\star} \end{pmatrix} \widehat{P}_j(X_j)^{-\gamma} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^{m_\star} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_j X_j q^{-k_j} & q^{-k_j} \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^\gamma \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^{m_\star} \end{pmatrix} \in \pi^m M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, X_j]]). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $j \in \mathcal{B}$. We have

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^\gamma - \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} q^{k_j}(q^{(\gamma-1)k_j}s_{j-1} - s_j^\varphi) & 0 \\ q^{k_j}z_j(q^{(\gamma-1)k_j}t_{j-1}z_j^{\gamma-1} - s_j^\varphi)X_j & (t_{j-1} - t_j^\varphi)u_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1} - \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}^\varphi\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^{-\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \pi^{m_\star} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \pi^m M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, X_j]]).$$

The case when $k_j = 0$ is an easy computation. $\square$

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\widehat{P}_j(X_j)$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, X_j]])$ be as in (23) for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ and write $\vec{X} = (X_0, \dots, X_{a-1})$. There exist unique matrices $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{X}) \in \text{Id} + \pi M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, \vec{X}]])$ such that*

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}(\vec{X})\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^\gamma = \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{X})^\varphi$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.7, if $k_j = 0$ then $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{X}) := \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ will do the job. So we may assume $k_j > 0$ for the rest of the proof. Write $f_{j,\ell} = \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1,\ell} - \widehat{P}_j(\vec{X})\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,\ell}^\varphi\widehat{P}_j(\vec{X})^{-\gamma}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, \vec{X}]]/\pi^{\ell+1}$. By Proposition 5.7, we have $\widehat{P}_j(\vec{X}) \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, \vec{X}]])$ and $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}$ in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi]])$ such that $f_{j,m-1} \in \pi^m M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, \vec{X}]])$ for all j . By applying a general version of Proposition 4.7 as explained in Remark 4.8, we obtain a unique π -adic lifting $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\pi, \vec{X}]])$ such that $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j} \equiv \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j,m-1} \pmod{\pi^m}$. $\square$

We have the following lemma generalizing [BLZ04, Proposition 3.2.1].

Lemma 5.9. *For $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma_K$ and for $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{a-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_E^a$, we have $\widehat{G}_{\gamma\eta,j}(\vec{\alpha}) = \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha})\widehat{G}_{\eta,j}(\vec{\alpha})^\gamma$. Moreover, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}(\vec{\alpha}) \cdot \widehat{P}_j(\alpha_j)^\gamma = \widehat{P}_j(\alpha_j) \cdot \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha})^\varphi$$

so that one can use the matrices $\widehat{P}_j(\alpha_j)$ and $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha})$ to define an integral embedded Wach module $N(\vec{\alpha}) := \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} N(\vec{\alpha})_j$ over $\mathcal{O}_K[[\pi]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_E$.

Proof. We know from Proposition 5.8 that

$$\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j-1}(\vec{X})\widehat{P}_j(X_j)^\gamma = \widehat{P}_j(X_j)\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{X})^\varphi$$

and if $\gamma, \eta \in \Gamma_K$ then $\widehat{G}_{\gamma\eta,j}(\vec{X})$ and $\widehat{G}'_{\gamma\eta,j}(\vec{X}) = \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{X}) \cdot \widehat{G}_{\eta,j}(\vec{X})^\gamma$ both satisfy the conditions of Proposition 5.8 and hence they are equal. We then define $N(\vec{\alpha}) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} N(\vec{\alpha})_j$ where $N(\vec{\alpha})_j$ is 2-dimensional free $\mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]$ -module which we write $N(\vec{\alpha})_j = \mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]n_{j,1} + \mathcal{O}_E[[\pi]]n_{j,2}$ with basis $n_{j,1}, n_{j,2}$. We endow it with action $\gamma : N(\vec{\alpha})_j \rightarrow N(\vec{\alpha})_j$ and $\varphi_j : N(\vec{\alpha})_j \rightarrow N(\vec{\alpha})_{j-1}$ given by matrices $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha})$ and $\widehat{P}_j(\alpha_j)$ respectively. $\square$

Recall δ_j defined in (21). Let $\vec{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{a-1})$ with $v_j \in E$ and $\text{ord}_p v_j \geq \delta_j$. Let $\vec{\alpha} := (p^{-\delta_j} v_j)_j$ (in $\mathcal{O}_E^a$). Let $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ be the E -linear crystalline representation such that $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} N(\vec{\alpha}) = \mathbf{N}(V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}^*)$ and let $T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice in $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ such that $N(\vec{\alpha}) = \mathbf{N}(T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}^*)$. The following result generalizes result of [BLZ04], in fact, for $a = 1$ we recovers [BLZ04, Proposition 3.2.4] with our $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ equal to V_{k, a_p} in notation of [BLZ04].

Proposition 5.10. *For $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{a-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_E^a$, the filtered φ -module $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} N(\vec{\alpha})/\pi N(\vec{\alpha})$ is isomorphic to a weakly admissible filtered φ -module $D_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ so that we have $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}^*(V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}) = D_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$.*

Proof. By definition we have

$$z_j \alpha_j = (p^{-\delta_j} z_j) v_j = (\lambda_b^{(g_{j-1} - f_{j-1})(\varphi)} \bmod \pi^{[\vec{k}_1]}) v_j \equiv v_j \bmod \pi$$

since $\lambda_b \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$. Then we find

$$\widehat{P}_j(\alpha_j) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q^{k_j} & z_j \alpha_j \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ p^{k_j} & v_j \end{pmatrix} \bmod \pi & \text{for } j \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} q^{k_j} & 0 \\ q^{k_j} z_j \alpha_j & u_j \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} p^{k_j} & 0 \\ p^{k_j} v_j & u_j \end{pmatrix} \bmod \pi & \text{for } j \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

We have $G_{\gamma,j} \equiv \text{Id} \bmod \pi$. Follow a similar argument as that in [BLZ04, Prop. 3.2.4], we find that $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} N(\vec{\alpha})/\pi N(\vec{\alpha})$ is isomorphic to $D_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$. This concludes our proof. $\square$

We consider the mod- p reduction behavior of $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$. By mod- p reduction we mean $T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}/\mathfrak{m}_E$ for any Galois stable lattice $T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$ in $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$. Note that the semisimplification of this reduction, denoted by $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}}$, is independent of the choice of lattice.

Theorem 5.11. (i) *For $t = 1, 2$ let $\vec{v}^{(t)}$ be such that $\text{ord}_p v_j^{(t)} > \delta_j$ where δ_j is defined in (21) for every j . Let $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(t)}, \vec{u}}$ be the crystalline E -linear representation such that $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} N((p^{-\delta_j} v_j^{(t)})_j) = \mathbf{N}(V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(t)}, \vec{u}}^*)$, and let $T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(t)}, \vec{u}}$ be a Galois stable lattice in $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(t)}, \vec{u}}$ such that $N((p^{-\delta_j} v_j^{(t)})_j) = \mathbf{N}(T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(t)}, \vec{u}}^*)$. Suppose $\text{ord}_p(v_j^{(1)} - v_j^{(2)}) \geq \delta_j + i$, then*

$$T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(1)}, \vec{u}} \equiv T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(2)}, \vec{u}} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i.$$

(ii) *For any $\vec{v}$ with $\text{ord}_p \vec{v} > \lfloor \frac{[\vec{k}_1]-1}{p-1} \rfloor$, or with $\text{ord}_p \vec{v} > 0$ and $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$, we have $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{u}}$.*

Proof. (i) Write $\alpha_j = p^{-\delta_j} v_j$. By hypothesis, $\widehat{P}_j(\vec{\alpha}^{(1)}) \equiv \widehat{P}_j(\vec{\alpha}^{(2)})$ and $\widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha}^{(1)}) \equiv \widehat{G}_{\gamma,j}(\vec{\alpha}^{(2)})$ mod $\mathfrak{m}_E^i$. Thus $N(\vec{\alpha}^{(1)}) \equiv N(\vec{\alpha}^{(2)}) \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i$. Then we have $T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(1)}, \vec{u}} \equiv T_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}^{(2)}, \vec{u}} \bmod \mathfrak{m}_E^i$.

(ii) Observe that $\delta_j \leq \frac{[\vec{k}_1]-1}{p-1}$, so $\text{ord}_p \vec{v} > \lfloor \frac{[\vec{k}_1]-1}{p-1} \rfloor$ implies that $\text{ord}_p v_j > \delta_j$ for every j . Hence we may apply part (i) to $\vec{v}^{(2)} = \vec{0}$. When $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$, then $\delta_j = 0$ for all j by Lemma 5.6, hence our assertion follows from the same argument as above. $\square$

Below we shall construct some crystalline deformation of 2-dimensional reducible and irreducible representations in $\text{Rep}_{/\mathbb{F}_p}(G_K)$, whose complete classification can be found in Breuil's notes [Br07].

Proposition 5.12. *Let $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$ with $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$, or let $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$. If $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}} = \Theta((A_j)_j)$ with $j \in \mathcal{B}$ for all j and $\text{ord}_p \vec{v} > 0$, then we have*

$$\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{1}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \overline{\eta}$$

for some unramified character $\overline{\eta}$.

Proof. Let $\overline{N} = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} \overline{N}_j$ denote the (φ, Γ) -module of $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}}$. Then

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\overline{N}}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \pi^{(p-1)k_j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_j \right)$$

with respect to certain lifted basis adapted to filtration $\overline{N} = \langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2 \rangle$. Thus

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi^a|_{\overline{N}_{a-1}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{(p-1)\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This says that $\varphi^a|_{\vec{e}_1} = \pi^{(p-1)\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j}$ and $\varphi^a|_{\vec{e}_2} = 1$. Under our hypothesis on embedded Hodge polygon $\vec{k}$, we find that $\vec{k}_1 < p-1$ ($\vec{k}_1 \leq p-1$ when $k_j > 0$ for all j) and hence we may apply Fontaine-Laffaille type theorem in Theorem 4.14. The subobject $\langle \vec{e}_1 \rangle = \mathbf{N}(\omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$ by Proposition 5.1. Thus $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}} \cong \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \oplus \text{Id}$.

Now by Theorem 5.11(ii), for any $\text{ord}_p(\vec{v}) > \lfloor \frac{[\vec{k}_1]-1}{p-1} \rfloor = 0$ we have $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{1}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}}$. Combining these above, we have

$$\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{1}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \overline{\eta}$$

for some unramified character $\overline{\eta}$. $\square$

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\vec{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{a-1})$ such that $0 \leq k_j \leq p-1$ for every $0 \leq j \leq a-1$ or $\vec{k} = (p, \dots, p)$. If $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{u}} = \Theta((A_j)_j)$ with $j \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq a-2$ and $a-1 \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\text{ord}_p(\vec{v}) > 0$ then we have*

$$\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}, \vec{1}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}} \cong \text{ind}(\omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j}) \otimes \overline{\eta}$$

is irreducible.

Proof. We note that our first congruence follows from Theorem 5.11. Let N be the integral Wach module of $V_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}}$ and let $\overline{N} = N/\mathfrak{m}_E$ be its mod p reduction. We shall prove $\overline{N}$ is irreducible as an étale (φ, Γ) -module over $\mathbb{F}_p((\pi))^{\text{sep}}$. Recall that

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\overline{N}})_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{(p-1)k_j} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{B}; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \pi^{(p-1)k_j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j \in \mathcal{A}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\text{Mat}(\varphi^a|_{\overline{N}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\pi^{(p-1)\sum_{j=0}^{a-2} k_j p^j} \\ \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}p^{a-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $\overline{N}$ contains a proper subobject $\overline{N}'$. Then φ^a acts on $\overline{N}' = xe_1 + ye_2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p((\pi))$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\pi^{(p-1)\sum_{j=0}^{a-2} k_j p^j} \\ \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}p^{a-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{p^a} \\ y^{p^a} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\pi]]$. From the equation we arrives at $(x/y)^{p^a+1} = -\pi^{(p-1)(k_0+k_1p+\dots-k_{a-1}p^{a-1})}$, which has no solution to x/y in $\mathbb{F}_p((\pi))$. This proves irreducibility of $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}, \vec{1}}$.

Let $\overline{W}$ be the determinant of $\overline{N}$. We have that $\text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\overline{W}}) = (\pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}})$.

It is clear that $\overline{W} = \mathbf{D}(\omega_a^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$ by comparison with Proposition 5.1.

Finally we claim that

$$\overline{V}|_{I_K} \cong \omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j} \oplus \omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j}.$$

It is reduced to consider $\overline{N}$ over $\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^a}} \mathbb{F}_{p^{2a}} \cong \prod_{i=0}^1 \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ to be isomorphic to $\prod_{i=0}^1 \mathbf{D}(\omega_{2a}^{p^{a+i} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$. Denote the basis adapted to filtration by $\overline{N}_j = \langle e_{j1}, e_{j2} \rangle$ for every j . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{e}_1' &:= (e_{0,1}, e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{a-1,1}, e_{0,2}, e_{1,2}, \dots, e_{a-1,2}) \\ \vec{e}_2' &:= (e_{0,2}, e_{1,2}, \dots, e_{a-1,2}, e_{0,1}, e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{a-1,1}) \end{aligned}$$

Change basis to the matrix of φ above to that with respect to $\vec{e}_1'$ and $\vec{e}_2'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\vec{e}_1'}) &= (\pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}}, 1, \dots, 1). \\ \text{Mat}(\varphi|_{\vec{e}_2'}) &= (1, \dots, 1, \pi^{(p-1)k_0}, \dots, \pi^{(p-1)k_{a-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that $\langle \vec{e}_1' \rangle \cong \mathbf{D}(\omega_{2a}^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$ and $\langle \vec{e}_2' \rangle \cong \mathbf{D}(\omega_{2a}^{p^a \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}} k_j p^j})$. This proves our claim about $\overline{V}|_{I_K}$ above. $\square$

We remark that our work in dimensional 2 case (in particular, Section 5.2) overlaps with recent and current work of Dousmanis [Do07, Do08]. In particular, Dousmanis lists isomorphism classes of 2-dimensional weakly admissible filtered φ -modules with Galois descent data and coefficients. His work allows him to describe the isomorphism classes of 2-dimensional potentially crystalline representations [Do07, Section 4.1] and potentially semistable (noncrystalline) representations [Do07, Section 4.2]. In [Do08, Theorem 3] Dousmanis has shown that if $\text{ord}_p v_j > \lfloor (\max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z}}(k_j) - 1)/(p-1) \rfloor$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{A}$, then $\overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{v}} \cong \overline{V}_{\vec{k}, \vec{0}}$.

REFERENCES

- [BE04] BERGER, L.: *Limites de représentations cristallines*. Compos. Math. 140(2004), no.6, 1473–1498.
- [BB04] BERGER, L.; BREUIL, C.: *Towards a p -adic Langlands Programme*. Lecture notes at the summer school on p -adic arithmetic geometry in Hangzhou. 2004.
- [BLZ04] BERGER, L.; LI, H.; ZHU, H. J.: *Construction of some families of 2-dimension crystalline representations*. Math. Ann. 329 (2004), no.2, 365–377.
- [Br99] BREUIL, C.: *Une remarque sur les représentations locales p -adiques et les congruences entre formes modulaires de Hilbert*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 127 (1999), no. 3, 459–472.
- [Br00] BREUIL, C.: *p -adic Hodge theory, deformations and local Langlands*. cours au C.R.M. de Barcelone, juillet 2001.
- [Br02] BREUIL, C.: *Sur quelques représentations modulaires et p -adiques de $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ II*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 2 (2003), no. 1, 23–58.
- [Br07] BREUIL, C.: *Representations of Galois and of GL_2 in characteristic 2*. Lecture notes, 2007.
- [BM02] BREUIL, C.; MÉZARD, A.: *Multiplicités modulaires et représentations de $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ et de $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ en $l = p$* . With an appendix by Guy Henniart. Duke Math. J. 115 (2002), no. 2, 205–310.
- [BS07] BREUIL, C.; SCHNEIDER, P.: *First steps towards p -adic Langlands functoriality*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 610, 2007, pp 149–180.
- [BDJ08] BUZZARD, K.; DIAMOND, F.; JARVIS, F.: *On Serre’s conjectures for mod ℓ Galois representations over totally real fields*. Preprint. arXiv: 0810.2106.
- [Car86] CARAYOL, H.: *Sur les représentations ℓ -adiques associées aux formes modulaires de Hilbert*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 19 (1986), 409–468.
- [Co99] COLMEZ, P.: *Représentations cristallines et représentations de hauteur finie*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 514 (1999), 119–143.
- [CF00] COLMEZ, P.; FONTAINE, J.-M.: *Construction des représentations p -adiques semi-stables*. Invent. Math. 140 (2000) 1–43.
- [Do07] DOUSMANIS, G.: *Rank two filtered (ϕ, N) -modules with Galois descent data and coefficients*. arXiv:0711.2137.
- [Do08] DOUSMANIS, G.: *Reductions of some families of two-dimensional crystalline Galois representations*. arXiv:0805.1634.
- [Ed98] EDIXHOVEN, B.: *The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms*. Invent. Math. 109 (1992), 563–594.
- [Fo79] FONTAINE, J.-M.: *Modules Galoisien, Modules filtrés et anneaux de Barsotti-Tate*. Journées de Géométrie algébrique de Rennes (III). Astérisque 65 (1979), 3–80.
- [Fo88a] FONTAINE, J.-M.: *Le corps des périodes p -adiques*. Périodes p -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), Astérisque 223 (1994) 59–111.
- [Fo88b] FONTAINE, J.-M.: *Représentations p -adiques semi-stables*. Périodes p -adiques, (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988), Astérisque 223 (1994) 113–184.
- [Fo91] FONTAINE, J.-M.: *Représentations p -adiques des corps locaux I*. The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 249–309, Progr. Math. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA 1990.
- [FL82] FONTAINE, J.-M.; LAFFAILLE, G.: *Construction de représentations p -adiques*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), no. 4, 547–608.
- [FR] FONTAINE, J.-M.; RAPOPPORT, M.: *Existence de filtrations admissibles sur des isocristaux*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 133 (2005), no. 1, 73–86.
- [Ge08a] GEE, T.: *On the weights of mod p Hilbert modular forms*. arXiv:math/0601516.
- [Ge08b] GEE, T.: *Automorphic lifts of prescribed types*. arXiv:0810.1877.
- [Jar97] JARVIS, F.: *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms of low weight*. J. reine angew. Math 491 (1997), 199–216.
- [Ka79] KATZ, N.: *Slope filtration for F -crystals*. Astérisque 63 (1979), 113–163.
- [Ke04] KEDLAYA, K.: *A p -adic local monodromy theorem*. Annals of Math. 160 (2004), 93–184.
- [Laf80] LAFFAILLE, G.: *Groupes p -divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 108 (1980), no. 2, 187–206.
- [Liu07] LIU, T.: *Torsion p -adic Galois representation and a conjecture of Fontaine*. Ann. Scient. de l’E.N.S., 40 (2007), 633–674.

- [MV07] MANTOVAN, E.; VIEHMANN, E.: *On the Hodge-Newton filtration for p -divisible $\mathcal{O}$ -modules*. arXiv:0710.4194v2[math.AG].
- [Oh84] OHTA, M.: *Hilbert modular forms of weight one and Galois representations*. Progr. in Math. 46 (1984) 333-353.
- [RT83] ROGAWSKI, R.; TUNNELL, J.: *On Artin L -functions associated to Hilbert modular forms of weight 1*, Invent. Math. 74 (1983), 1-42.
- [Sa04] SAVITT, D.: *On a conjecture of Conrad, Diamond, and Taylor*. Duke Math. J. 128 (2005), no. 1, 141-197.
- [Tay89] TAYLOR, R.: *On Galois representations associated to Hilbert modular forms*. Invent. Math. 98 (1989), 265-280.
- [Wa96] WACH, N.: *Représentations p -adiques potentiellement cristallines*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 124 (1996), 375-400.
- [Wa97] WACH, N.: *Représentations cristallines de torsion*. Compositio Math. 108 (1997) 185-240.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14260. USA